arXiv:1509.06701v1 [math.PR] 22 Sep 2015

EXCHANGEABLE MARKOV PROCESSES ON GRAPHS: FELLER CASE

HARRY CRANE

Abstract. The transition law of every exchangeable Feller process on the space of countable graphs is determined by a σ-finite measure on the space of $\{0,1\}\times\{0,1\}$-valued arrays. In discrete-time, this characterization amounts to a construction from an independent, identically distributed sequence of exchangeable random functions. In continuous-time, the behavior is enriched by a Lévy–Itô-type decomposition of the jump measure into mutually singular components that govern global, vertex-level, and edge-level dynamics. Furthermore, every such process almost surely projects to a Feller process in the space of graph limits.

1. Introduction

A *graph*, or *network*, $G = (V, E_G)$ is a set of *vertices* V and a binary relation of *edges* $E_G \subseteq V \times V$. For $i, j \in V$, we write G^{ij} to indicate the status of edge (i, j), i.e.,

$$G^{ij} := \mathbf{1}\{(i,j) \in E_G\} := \begin{cases} 1, & (i,j) \in E_G, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We write $\mathcal{G}_V$ to denote the space of graphs with vertex set V.

Networks represent interactions among individuals, particles, and variables throughout science. In this setting, consider a population of individuals labeled distinctly in V and related to one another by the edges of a graph $G = (V, E_G)$. The population size is typically large, but unknown, and so we assume a countably infinite population and take $V = \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \ldots\}$. In practice, the labels $\mathbb{N}$ are arbitrarily assigned for the purpose of distinguishing individuals, and data can only be observed for a finite sample $S \subset \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we often take $S = [n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ whenever $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is finite with cardinality $n \geq 1$.

These practical matters relate to the operations of

- relabeling: the *relabeling* of $G = (G^{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}$ by any permutation $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is

$$G^{\sigma} := (G^{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)})_{i,j\geq 1}, \quad \text{and} \tag{1}$$

- restriction: the *restriction* of $G = (G^{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}$ to a graph with vertices $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is

$$G^{S} = G|_{S} := (G^{ij})_{i,j\in S}. \tag{2}$$

In many relevant applications, the network structure changes over time, resulting in a time-indexed collection $(G_t)_{t\in T}$ of graphs. We consider exchangeable, consistent Markov processes as statistical models in this general setting.

Date: September 23, 2015.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C80; 60G09; 60J05; 60J25.

Key words and phrases. exchangeability; random graph; graph limit; Lévy–Itô decomposition; Aldous–Hoover theorem; Erdős–Rényi random graph.

The author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1308899 and NSA grant H98230-13-1-0299.

1.1. Graph-valued Markov processes.

A $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$-*valued Markov process* is a collection $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ for which each $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G = (\Gamma_t)_{t\in T}$ is a family of random graphs satisfying $\Gamma_0 = G$ and

- the *Markov property*, i.e., the past $(\Gamma_s)_{s<t}$ and future $(\Gamma_s)_{s>t}$ are conditionally independent given the present Γ_t, for all $t \in T$.

In addition, we assume $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is

- *exchangeable*, i.e., all $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G$ share a common *exchangeable* transition law such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_{t'} \in \cdot \mid \Gamma_t = F\} = \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma^{\sigma}_{t'} \in \cdot \mid \Gamma^{\sigma}_t = F\}, \quad F \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}, \quad t' > t, \quad \text{and} \tag{3}$$

- *consistent*, i.e., the transition law of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma^{[n]}_{t'} = F \mid \Gamma_t = F'\} = \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma^{[n]}_{t'} = F \mid \Gamma_t = F''\}, \quad F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}, \tag{4}$$

  for all $F', F'' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $F'|_{[n]} = F''|_{[n]}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consistency implies that $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{[n]}_G = (\Gamma_t|_{[n]})_{t\in T}$ satisfies the Markov property for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. We call any $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ satisfying these properties an *exchangeable, consistent Markov process*. In Proposition 4.3, we observe that consistency and the Feller property are equivalent for exchangeable Markov processes on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, so we sometimes also call $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ an *exchangeable Feller process*.

The process $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is enough to determine the law of any collection $(\Gamma_t)_{t\in T}$ with a given transition law and initial distribution ν by first drawing $\Gamma_0 \sim \nu$ and then putting $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\nu = \mathbf{\Gamma}_G$ on the event $\Gamma_0 = G$. With the underlying process $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ understood, we write $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\nu = (\Gamma_t)_{t\in T}$ to denote a collection with this description.

Our main theorems characterize the behavior of exchangeable Feller processes in both discrete- and continuous-time. In discrete-time, we show that every exchangeable Feller process can be constructed by an iterated application of independent, identically distributed exchangeable random functions $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, called *rewiring maps*; see Section 4.5. In continuous-time, $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ admits a construction from a Poisson point process whose intensity measure has a Lévy–Itô-type decomposition. The Lévy–Itô representation classifies every discontinuity of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ as one of three types. In addition, when ν is an exchangeable initial distribution on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, both discrete- and continuous-time processes $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\nu$ project to a Feller process in the space of graph limits. These outcomes invoke connections to previous work on the theory combinatorial stochastic processes [4, 10], partially exchangeable arrays [1, 2, 5], and limits of dense graph sequences [8, 9].

1.2. Outline.

Before summarizing our conclusions (Section 3), we first introduce key definitions and assumptions (Section 2). We then unveil essential concepts in more detail (Section 4) and prove our main theorems in discrete-time (Section 5) and in continuous-time (Section 6). We highlight some immediate extensions of our main theorems in our concluding remarks (Section 7).

2. Definitions and assumptions

2.1. Graphs.

For any graph $G = (V, E_G)$, we impose the additional axioms of

(i) *anti-reflexivity*, $(i,i) \notin E_G$ for all $i \in V$, and
(ii) *symmetry*, $(i,j) \in E_G$ implies $(j,i) \in E_G$ for all $i,j \in V$.

Thus, we specialize $\mathcal{G}_V$ to denote the set of all graphs satisfying (i) and (ii).

By the symmetry axiom (ii), we write $ij = \{i,j\} \in E_G$ to indicate that there is an edge between i and j in G. By definition, G has no multiple edges, condition (i) forbids edges from a vertex to itself, and condition (ii) makes G *undirected*. In terms of the *adjacency array* $G = (G^{ij})_{i,j\in V}$, (i) and (ii) above correspond to

- (i′) $G^{ii} = 0$ for all $i \in V$ and
- (ii′) $G^{ij} = G^{ji}$ for all $i, j \in V$,

respectively. As we discuss in Section 7, our main theorems remain valid under some relaxation of each of these conditions. The above two notions of a graph—as a pair (V, E_G) and as an adjacency array—are equivalent; we use them interchangeably and with the same notation.

With $\mathfrak{S}_V$ denoting the space of permutations of $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, i.e., bijective functions $\sigma : V \to V$, relabeling (1) associates every $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_V$ to a map $\mathcal{G}_V \to \mathcal{G}_V$. For all $S \subseteq S' \subseteq V$, restriction (2) determines a map $\mathcal{G}_{S'} \to \mathcal{G}_S$, $G \mapsto G^S = G|_S$. Specifically, for $n \geq m \geq 1$, $G|_{[m]} = (G^{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq m}$ is the leading $m \times m$ submatrix of $G = (G^{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$. By combining (1) and (2), every injection $\phi : S \to S'$ determines a projection $\mathcal{G}_{S'} \to \mathcal{G}_S$ by

$$G \mapsto G^{\phi} := (G^{\phi(i)\phi(j)})_{i,j\in S}. \tag{5}$$

We call a sequence of finite graphs $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *compatible* if $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}$ and $G_n|_{[m]} = G_m$ for every $m \leq n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Any compatible sequence of finite graphs determines a unique *countable graph* G_∞, the projective limit of $(G_1, G_2, \ldots)$ under restriction. We endow $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product-discrete topology induced, for example, by the ultrametric

$$d(G, G') := 1/\max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : G|_{[n]} = G'|_{[n]}\}, \quad G, G' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}. \tag{6}$$

From (6), we naturally equip $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ with the Borel σ-field $\sigma\langle \cdot|_{[n]}\rangle_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ generated by the restriction maps. Under (6), $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is a compact and, therefore, complete and separable metric space; hence, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is Polish and standard measure-theoretic outcomes apply in our analysis.

2.2. Graph limits.

For $n \geq m \geq 1$, $F \in \mathcal{G}_{[m]}$, and $G \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}$, we define the *density of F in G* by

$$\delta(F, G) := \mathrm{ind}(F, G)/n^{\downarrow m}, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathrm{ind}(F, G)$ is the number of embeddings of F into G and $n^{\downarrow m} := n(n-1)\cdots(n-m+1)$. Specifically,

$$\mathrm{ind}(F, G) := \sum_{\phi:[m]\to[n]} \mathbf{1}\{G^{\phi} = F\}$$

is the number of injections $\phi : [m] \to [n]$ for which $G^{\phi} = F$.

Given a sequence $G = (G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{G}^* := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_{[n]}$, we define the *limiting density of F in G* by

$$\delta(F, G) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(F, G_n), \quad \text{if it exists.}$$

In particular, for $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, we define the *limiting density of F in G* by

$$\delta(F, G) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(F, G|_{[n]}), \quad \text{if it exists.} \tag{8}$$

Definition 2.1 (Graph limit). *The* graph limit *of $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is the collection*

$$|G| := (\delta(F, G))_{F\in\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_{[m]}},$$

provided $\delta(F, G)$ exists for every $F \in \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_{[m]}$. If $\delta(F, G)$ does not exist for some F, then we put $|G| := \partial$. We write $\mathcal{D}^*$ to denote the closure of $\{|G| : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\} \setminus \{\partial\}$ in $[0, 1]^{\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_{[m]}}$.

If the graph limit of G exists, then G determines a family of exchangeable probability distributions on $(\mathcal{G}_{[n]})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_n = F \mid G\} = \delta(F, G), \quad F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\,. \tag{9}$$

Moreover, the distributions determined by $(\delta(F,G))_{F\in\mathcal{G}_{[m]}}$ and $(\delta(F,G))_{F\in\mathcal{G}_{[n]}}$, $m \leq n$, are mutually *consistent*, i.e., the distribution in (9) satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_n|_{[m]} = F' \mid G\} = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{G}_{[n]}:\, F|_{[m]}=F'} \delta(F,G) = \delta(F', G), \quad \text{for every } F' \in \mathcal{G}_{[m]}.$$

Thus, every $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$ determines a unique probability measure on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, which we denote by γ_D. In addition, γ_D is *exchangeable* in the sense that $\Gamma \sim \gamma_D$ satisfies $\Gamma^\sigma =_{\mathcal{L}} \Gamma$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$, where $=_{\mathcal{L}}$ denotes *equality in law*.

Remark 2.2. *In our notation, $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and γ_D correspond to the same object, but we use the former to emphasize that D is the graph limit of some $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and the latter to specifically refer to the probability distribution that D determines on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. As an element of $\mathcal{D}^*$, $D = (D_F)_{F\in\mathcal{G}^*}$ is an element of $[0,1]^{\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}_{[m]}}$. The connection between D and γ_D is made explicit by*

$$D_F = D(F) = \gamma_D(\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} : G|_{[n]} = F\}), \quad F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

Definition 2.3 (Dissociated random graphs)**.** *A random graph Γ is* dissociated *if*

$$\Gamma|_S \quad \textit{and} \quad \Gamma|_{S'} \quad \textit{are independent for all disjoint subsets } S, S' \subseteq \mathbb{N}\,. \tag{10}$$

We call a probability measure ν on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ dissociated *if $\Gamma \sim \nu$ is a dissociated random graph.*

Dissociated measures play a central role in the theory of exchangeable random graphs: the measure γ_D determined by any $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$ is dissociated, and conversely the Aldous–Hoover theorem (Theorem 4.2) states that every exchangeable probability measure on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is a mixture of exchangeable, dissociated probability measures. In particular, to every exchangeable probability measure ν on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ there exists a unique probability measure Δ on $\mathcal{D}^*$ such that $\nu = \gamma_\Delta$, where

$$\gamma_\Delta(\cdot) := \int_{\mathcal{D}^*} \gamma_D(\cdot)\Delta(dD) \tag{11}$$

is the mixture of γ_D measures with respect to Δ.

2.3. **Notation.** We use the capital Roman letter G to denote a generic graph, capital Greek letter Γ to denote a random graph, bold Greek letter with subscript $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\bullet$ to denote a collection of random graphs with initial condition $\bullet$, and bold Greek letter $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_\bullet\}$ to denote a graph-valued process indexed by the initial conditions $\bullet$.

Superscripts index edges and subscripts index time; therefore, for $(\Gamma_t)_{t\in T}$, we write Γ_t^{ij} to indicate the status of edge ij at time $t \in T$ and $\Gamma_{T'}^S = (\Gamma_t^S)_{t\in T'}$ to denote the trajectory of the edges $ij \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ over the set of times in $T' \subseteq T$. We adopt the same notation for processes $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$, with $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{T'}^S := \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_{G,T'}^S : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ denoting the restriction of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ to edges $ij \in S$ and times $T' \subseteq T$.

We distinguish between discrete-time ($T = \mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$) and continuous-time ($T = \mathbb{R}_+ := [0,\infty)$) processes by indexing time by m and t, respectively; thus, $(\Gamma_m)_{m\geq 0}$ denotes a discrete-time process and $(\Gamma_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denotes a continuous-time process. To further distinguish these cases, we call $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ a *Markov chain* if its constituents are indexed by discrete-time and a *Markov process* if its constituents are indexed by continuous-time. Whenever a discussion encompasses both discrete- and continuous-time, we employ terminology and notation for the continuous-time case.

3. Summary of main theorems

We now state our main theorems, saving many technical details for later. Of primary importance are the notions of rewiring maps and rewiring limits, which we introduce briefly in Section 3.1 and develop formally in Section 4.5.

3.1. **Discrete-time Feller chains.**

Let $W = (W^{ij})_{i,j\in V}$ be a symmetric $\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$-valued array with $W^{ii} = (0,0)$ for all $i \in V$. For $i,j \in V$, we express the (i,j) component of W as a pair $W^{ij} = (W_0^{ij}, W_1^{ij})$. For any such W and any $G \in \mathcal{G}_V$, we define the *rewiring of G by W* by $G' = W(G)$, where

$$G'^{ij} := \begin{cases} W_0^{ij}, & G^{ij} = 0 \\ W_1^{ij}, & G^{ij} = 1 \end{cases}, \quad i,j \in V. \tag{12}$$

Note that W acts on G by reconfiguring its adjacency array at each entry: if $G^{ij} = 0$, then G'^{ij} is taken from W_0^{ij}; otherwise, G'^{ij} is taken from W_1^{ij}. Thus, we can regard W as a function $\mathcal{G}_V \to \mathcal{G}_V$, called a *rewiring map*. Lemma 4.9 records some basic facts about rewiring maps.

Our definition of relabeling and restriction for rewiring maps is identical to definitions (1) and (2) for graphs, i.e., for every $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_V$ and $S \subseteq V$, we define

$$W^{\sigma} := (W^{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)})_{i,j\in V} \quad \text{and}$$
$$W^S = W|_S := (W^{ij})_{i,j\in S}.$$

We write $\mathcal{W}_V$ to denote the collection of rewiring maps $\mathcal{G}_V \to \mathcal{G}_V$.

Given a probability measure ω on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$, we construct a discrete-time Markov chain $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega} : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ as follows. We first generate $W_1, W_2, \ldots$ independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) according to ω. For every $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, we define $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega} = (\Gamma^*_m)_{m\geq 0}$ by putting $\Gamma^*_0 = G$ and

$$\Gamma^*_m := W_m(\Gamma^*_{m-1}) = (W_m \circ \cdots \circ W_1)(G), \quad m \geq 1, \tag{13}$$

where $W \circ W'$ indicates the composition of W and W' as maps $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

From the definition in (12), $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega}$ in (13) is a consistent Markov chain. If, in addition, $W \sim \omega$ satisfies $(W^{ij})_{i,j\geq 1} =_{\mathcal{L}} (W^{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)})_{i,j\geq 1}$ for all permutations $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega}$ is also exchangeable. Theorem 3.1 establishes the converse: to every discrete-time exchangeable, consistent Markov chain $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ there corresponds a probability measure ω on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathbf{\Gamma} =_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega}$, that is, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G =_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega}$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

By appealing to the theory of partially exchangeable arrays, we further characterize ω uniquely in terms of a mixing measure Υ on the space of *rewiring limits*, which we express as $\omega = \Omega_{\Upsilon}$. We present these outcomes more explicitly in Section 4.5.

Theorem 3.1 (Discrete-time characterization). *Let* $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ *be a discrete-time, exchangeable, consistent Markov chain on* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. *Then there exists a probability measure* Υ *such that* $\mathbf{\Gamma} =_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\Upsilon}$, *where* $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\Upsilon}$ *is constructed as in* (13) *from* $W_1, W_2, \ldots$ *independent and identically distributed according to* $\omega = \Omega_{\Upsilon}$.

Our proof of Theorem 3.1 relies on an extension (Theorem 5.1) of the Aldous–Hoover theorem (Theorem 4.2) to the case of exchangeable and consistent Markov chains on $\{0,1\}$-valued arrays. Heuristically, $W_1, W_2, \ldots$ must be i.i.d. because of the Markov and consistency assumptions: by consistency, every finite restriction $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G^{[n]}$ has the Markov property and, therefore, for every $m \geq 1$ the conditional law of W_m, given Γ_{m-1}, must satisfy

$$W_m^{[n]} \text{ and } \Gamma_{m-1}^{\mathbb{N}\setminus[n]} \text{ are independent for every } n \in \mathbb{N};$$

exchangeability further requires that $W_m^{[n]}$ is independent of $\Gamma_{m-1}^{[n]}$, and so we expect W_m to be independent of Γ_{m-1} for every $m \geq 1$; by time-homogeneity, $W_1, W_2, \ldots$ should also be identically distributed; independence of $W_1, W_2, \ldots$ then follows from the Markov property. Each of these above points on its own requires a nontrivial argument. We make this heuristic rigorous in Section 5.

3.1.1. *Projection into the space of graph limits.* From our discussion of graph limits in Section 2.2, any exchangeable random graph Γ projects almost surely to a *graph limit* $|\Gamma| = D$, which corresponds to a random element γ_D in the space of exchangeable, dissociated probability measures on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Likewise, any exchangeable rewiring map $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ projects to a *rewiring limit* $|W| = \upsilon$, which corresponds to an exchangeable, dissociated probability measure Ω_υ on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$. We write $\mathcal{D}^*$ and $\mathcal{V}^*$ to denote the spaces of graph limits and rewiring limits, respectively.

We delay more formal details about rewiring limits until Section 4.5. For now, we settle for an intuitive understanding by analog to graph limits. Recall the definition of γ_Δ in (11) for a probability measure Δ on $\mathcal{D}^*$, i.e., $\Gamma \sim \gamma_\Delta$ is a random graph obtained by first sampling $D \sim \Delta$ and, given D, drawing Γ from γ_D. Similarly, for a probability measure Υ on $\mathcal{V}^*$, $W \sim \Omega_\Upsilon$ is a random rewiring map obtained by first sampling $\upsilon \sim \Upsilon$ and, given υ, drawing W from Ω_υ. In particular, $W \sim \Omega_\Upsilon$ implies $|W| \sim \Upsilon$. Just as for graph limits, we regard $\upsilon \in \mathcal{V}^*$ and Ω_υ as the same object, but use the former to refer to a rewiring limit of some $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and the latter to refer to the corresponding exchangeable, dissociated probability distribution on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

From the construction of $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\omega^*$ in (19), every probability measure ω on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ determines a transition probability $P_\omega(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$P_\omega(G,\cdot) := \omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W(G) \in \cdot\}), \quad G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}, \tag{14}$$

and thus every $\upsilon \in \mathcal{V}^*$ determines a transition probability $P_\upsilon(\cdot,\cdot)$ by taking $\omega = \Omega_\upsilon$ in (14). Consequently, every $\upsilon \in \mathcal{V}^*$ acts on $\mathcal{D}^*$ by composition of probability measures,

$$D \mapsto D\upsilon(\cdot) := \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}} P_\upsilon(G,\cdot)\gamma_D(dG). \tag{15}$$

In other words, $D\upsilon$ is the probability measure of Γ' obtained by first generating $\Gamma \sim \gamma_D$ and, given $\Gamma = G$, taking $\Gamma' = W(G)$, where $W \sim \Omega_\upsilon$ is a random rewiring map.

Alternatively, we can express D as a countable vector $(D(F))_{F \in \mathcal{G}^*}$ with

$$D(F) := \gamma_D(\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} : G|_{[n]} = F\}), \quad F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

Moreover, υ gives rise to an infinite by infinite array $(\upsilon(F,F'))_{F,F' \in \mathcal{G}^*}$ with

$$\upsilon(F,F') := \begin{cases} \Omega_\upsilon(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[n]}(F) = F'\}), & F, F' \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this way, $(v(F,F'))_{F,F'\in\mathcal{G}^*}$ has finitely many non-zero entries in each row and the usual definition of $Dv = (D'(F))_{F\in\mathcal{G}^*}$ by

$$D'(F) := \sum_{F'\in\mathcal{G}_{[n]}} D(F')v(F',F), \quad F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{16}$$

coincides with (15). (Note that the array $(v(F,F'))_{F,F'\in\mathcal{G}^*}$ does not determine v, but its action on $\mathcal{D}^*$ through (16) agrees with the action of v in (15).)

For a graph-valued process $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$, we write $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{D}^*} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_D : D \in \mathcal{D}^*\}$ to denote the process derived from $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ by defining the law of $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D = (\Gamma_t)_{t\in T}$ as that of the collection of random graphs obtained by taking $\Gamma_0 \sim \gamma_D$ and, given $\Gamma_0 = G$, putting $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D = \mathbf{\Gamma}_G$. Provided $|\Gamma_t|$ exists for all $t \in T$, we define $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D| := (|\Gamma_t|)_{t\in T}$ as the collection of graph limits at all times of $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D$. If $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D|$ exists for all $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$, we write $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{D}^*}| := \{|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D| : D \in \mathcal{D}^*\}$ to denote the associated $\mathcal{D}^*$-valued process.

Theorem 3.2 (Graph limit chain). *Let $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be a discrete-time, exchangeable Feller chain on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Then $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{D}^*}|$ exists almost surely and is a Feller chain on $\mathcal{D}^*$. Moreover, $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D| =_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{D}^*_{D,\Upsilon}$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$, where $\mathbf{D}^*_{D,\Upsilon} := (D^*_m)_{m\geq 0}$ satisfies $D^*_0 = D$ and*

$$D^*_m := D^*_{m-1}Y_m = DY_1\cdots Y_m, \quad m \geq 1, \tag{17}$$

with $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ i.i.d. from Υ, the probability measure associated to $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ through Theorem 3.1.

3.2. **Continuous-time Feller processes.** In discrete time, we use an i.i.d. sequence of rewiring maps to construct the representative Markov chain $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\Upsilon}$, cf. Equation (13) and Theorem 3.1. In continuous time, we construct a representative Markov process $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega} : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ from a Poisson point process $\mathbf{W} = \{(t, W_t)\} \subset [0,\infty) \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ with intensity $dt \otimes \omega$. Here, dt denotes Lebesgue measure on $[0,\infty)$ and ω satisfies

$$\omega(\{\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}\}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}) < \infty \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{18}$$

with $\mathbf{Id}_V$ denoting the identity $\mathcal{G}_V \to \mathcal{G}_V$, $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

We construct each $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega}$ through its finite restrictions $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_{G,\omega} := (\Gamma^{*[n]}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on $\mathcal{G}_{[n]}$ by first putting $\Gamma^{*[n]}_0 := G|_{[n]}$ and then defining

(19)
- $\Gamma^{*[n]}_t := W_t|_{[n]}(\Gamma^{*[n]}_{t-})$, if $t > 0$ is an atom time of $\mathbf{W}$ for which $W_t|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}$, or
- $\Gamma^{*[n]}_t := \Gamma^{*[n]}_{t-}$, otherwise.

Above, we have written $\Gamma^{*[n]}_{t-} := \lim_{s\uparrow t}\Gamma^{*[n]}_s$ to denote the state of $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_{G,\omega}$ in the instant before time $t > 0$.

By (18), every $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_{G,\omega}$ has càdlàg paths and is a Markov chain on $\mathcal{G}_{[n]}$. Moreover, if ω is exchangeable, then so is $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_{\omega}$. By construction, $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[m]}_{G,\omega}$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{G}_{[m]}$ of $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_{G,\omega}$, for every $m \leq n$ and every $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. In particular, $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_{G,\omega} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_{G',\omega}$ for all $G, G' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $G|_{[n]} = G'|_{[n]}$. Thus, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_{G,\omega})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ determines a unique collection $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega} = (\Gamma^*_t)_{t\geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, for every $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. We define the process $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega} : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ as the family of all such collections generated from the same Poisson point process.

Theorem 3.3 (Poissonian construction). *Let $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be an exchangeable Feller process on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Then there exists an exchangeable measure ω satisfying* (18) *such that $\mathbf{\Gamma} =_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega}$, as constructed in* (19).

3.2.1. *Lévy–Itô representation.* Our next theorem builds on Theorem 3.3 by classifying the discontinuities of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ into three types. If $s > 0$ is a discontinuity time for $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G = (\Gamma_t)_{t\geq 0}$, then either

- (I) there is a unique edge ij for which $\Gamma^{ij}_{s-} \neq \Gamma^{ij}_{s}$,
- (II) there is a unique vertex $i \geq 1$ for which the edge statuses $(\Gamma^{ij}_{s-})_{j\neq i}$ are updated according to an exchangeable transition probability on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and all edges not incident to i remain fixed, or
- (III) the edges of Γ_{s-} are updated according to a random rewiring map as in discrete-time.

Qualitatively, the above description separates the jumps of $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G$ according to their local and global characteristics. Type (I) discontinuities are local—they involve a change in status to just a single edge—while Type (III) discontinuities are global—they involve a change to a positive proportion of all edges. Type (II) discontinuities lie in between—they involve a change to infinitely many but a zero limiting proportion of edges. According to this characterization, there can be no discontinuities affecting the status of more than one but a zero limiting fraction of vertices or more than one but a zero limiting fraction of edges.

The decomposition of the discontinuities into Types (I)-(III) prompts the *Lévy–Itô decomposition* of the intensity measure ω from Theorem 3.3. More specifically, Theorem 3.4 below decomposes the jump measure of ω into a unique quadruple $(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{v}, \Sigma, \Upsilon)$, where $\mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1)$ is a unique pair of non-negative constants, $\mathbf{v}$ is a unique non-negative constant, Σ is a unique probability measure on the space of 2×2 stochastic matrices, and Υ is a unique measure on the space of rewiring limits. These components contribute to the behavior of $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G$, $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, as follows.

- (I) For unique constants $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1 \geq 0$, each edge ij, $i \neq j$, changes its status independently of all others: each edge in $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G$ is removed independently at Exponential rate $\mathbf{e}_0 \geq 0$ and each absent edge in $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G$ is added independently at Exponential rate $\mathbf{e}_1 \geq 0$. A jump of this kind results in a Type (I) discontinuity.
- (II) Each vertex jumps independently at Exponential rate $\mathbf{v} \geq 0$. When vertex $i \in \mathbb{N}$ experiences a jump, the statuses of edges ij, $j \neq i$, are updated conditionally independently according to a random transition probability matrix S generated from a unique probability measure Σ on the space of 2×2 stochastic matrices:

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma^{ij}_s = k' \mid \Gamma^{ij}_{s-} = k,\ S\} = S_{kk'}, \quad k, k' = 0, 1,$$

  where $S = (S_{kk'}) \sim \Sigma$. Edges that do not involve the specified vertex i stay fixed. A jump of this kind results in a Type (II) discontinuity.
- (III) A unique measure Υ on $\mathcal{V}^*$ determines a transition rate measure Ω_Υ, akin to the induced transition probability measure (14) discussed in Section 3.1.1. A jump of this kind results in a Type (III) discontinuity.

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any probability measure Σ on 2×2 stochastic matrices, we write $\Omega^{(i)}_\Sigma$ to denote the probability measure induced on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ by the procedure applied to vertex i in (II) above. That is, we generate $W \sim \Omega^{(i)}_\Sigma$ by first taking $S \sim \Sigma$ and, given S, generating $W^{ij} = (W^{ij}_0, W^{ij}_1)$, $j \neq i$, as independent and identically distributed from

$$\mathbb{P}\{W^{ij}_0 = k \mid S\} = S_{0k}, \quad k = 0, 1 \text{ and } j \neq i, \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathbb{P}\{W^{ij}_1 = k \mid S\} = S_{1k}, \quad k = 0, 1 \text{ and } j \neq i.$$

For all other edges $i'j'$, $i' \neq j'$, such that $i \notin \{i', j'\}$, we put $W^{i'j'} = (0,1)$. Thus, the action of $W \sim \Omega_\Sigma^{(i)}$ on $G \in \mathcal{G}_\mathbb{N}$ results in a discontinuity of Type (II). By slight abuse of notation, we write

$$\Omega_\Sigma := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_\Sigma^{(i)}. \tag{20}$$

For $k = 0,1$, we define ϵ_k as the measure that assigns unit mass to each rewiring map with a single off-diagonal entry equal to (k,k) and all other off-diagonal entries $(0,1)$.

In the next theorem, $\mathbf{I} := |\mathbf{Id}_\mathbb{N}|$ denotes the rewiring limit of the identity $\mathbf{Id}_\mathbb{N} : \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{N} \to \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{N}$ and $v_*^{(2)}$ is the mass assigned to $\mathbf{Id}_{[2]}$ by Ω_v, for any $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$.

Theorem 3.4 (Lévy–Itô representation). *Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\omega^* = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_{G,\omega}^* : G \in \mathcal{G}_\mathbb{N}\}$ be an exchangeable Feller process constructed in* (19) *based on intensity ω satisfying* (18). *Then there exist unique constants $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{v} \geq 0$, a unique probability measure Σ on 2×2 stochastic matrices, and a unique measure Υ on $\mathcal{V}^*$ satisfying*

$$\Upsilon(\{\mathbf{I}\}) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_{\mathcal{V}^*} (1 - v_*^{(2)}) \Upsilon(dv) < \infty \tag{21}$$

such that

$$\omega = \Omega_\Upsilon + \mathbf{v}\Omega_\Sigma + \mathbf{e}_0\epsilon_0 + \mathbf{e}_1\epsilon_1. \tag{22}$$

Remark 3.5. *By Theorem 3.3, every exchangeable Feller process $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ admits a construction by $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\omega^*$ in* (19) *for some ω satisfying* (18). *Therefore, Theorem 3.4 provides a Lévy–Itô-type representation for all exchangeable $\mathcal{G}_\mathbb{N}$-valued Feller processes $\mathbf{\Gamma}$.*

Remark 3.6. *The regularity condition* (21) *and characterization* (22) *recall a similar description for $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued Lévy processes, which decompose as the superposition of independent Brownian motion with drift, a compound Poisson process, and a pure-jump martingale. In $\mathbb{R}^d$, the Poissonian component is characterized by a* Lévy measure Π *satisfying*

$$\Pi(\{(0,\ldots,0)\}) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \int (1 \wedge |x|^2)\Pi(dx) < \infty; \tag{23}$$

see Theorem 1 of [3]. *Only a little imagination is needed to appreciate the resemblance between* (21) *and* (23).

Intuitively, (21) is the naive condition needed to ensure that Ω_Υ satisfies (18). By the Aldous–Hoover theorem (Theorem 4.2), $v_*^{(2)}$ corresponds to the probability that a random rewiring map from Ω_v restricts to $\mathbf{Id}_{[2]} \in \mathcal{W}_2$; thus,

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}^*} (1 - v_*^{(2)}) \Upsilon(dv) < \infty$$

guarantees that the restriction of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{[2]}$ has càdlàg sample paths. Under exchangeability, this is enough to guarantee that $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{[n]}$ has càdlàg paths for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.2.2. *Projection into the space of graph limits.* As in discrete-time, we write $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{D}^*}$ for the process derived from $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ by mixing with respect to each initial distribution γ_D, $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$. Analogous to Theorem 3.2, every exchangeable Feller process almost surely projects to a Feller process in the space of graph limits.

Theorem 3.7 (Graph limit process)**.** *Let* $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ *be an exchangeable Feller process on* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$*. Then* $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{D}^*}|$ *exists almost surely and is a Feller process on* $\mathcal{D}^*$*. Moreover, each* $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D|$ *is continuous at all* $t > 0$ *except possibly at the times of Type (III) discontinuities.*

4. PRELIMINARIES: GRAPH-VALUED PROCESSES

Proof of our main theorems is spread over Sections 5 and 6. In preparation, we first develop the machinery of exchangeable random graphs, graph-valued processes, rewiring maps, graph limits, and rewiring limits.

4.1. Exchangeable random graphs.

Definition 4.1 (Exchangeable random graphs)**.** *A random graph* $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_V$ *is* exchangeable *if* $\Gamma =_{\mathcal{L}} \Gamma^\sigma$ *for all* $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_V$*. A measure* γ *on* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ *is* exchangeable *if* $\gamma(S) = \gamma(S^\sigma)$ *for all* $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_V$ *and all measurable subsets* $S \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$*, where* $S^\sigma := \{G^\sigma : G \in S\}$*.*

In the following theorem, $\mathcal{X}$ is any Polish space.

Theorem 4.2 (Aldous–Hoover [2], Theorem 14.21)**.** *Let* $X := (X^{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}$ *be a symmetric* $\mathcal{X}$*-valued array for which* $X =_{\mathcal{L}} (X^{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)})_{i,j\geq 1}$ *for all* $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$*. Then there exists a measurable function* $f : [0,1]^4 \to \mathcal{X}$ *such that* $f(\cdot,b,c,\cdot) = f(\cdot,c,b,\cdot)$ *and* $X =_{\mathcal{L}} X^*$*, where* $X^* := (X^{*ij})_{i,j\geq 1}$ *is defined by*

$$X^{*ij} := f(\zeta_\emptyset, \zeta_{\{i\}}, \zeta_{\{j\}}, \zeta_{\{i,j\}}), \quad i,j \geq 1, \tag{24}$$

for $\{\zeta_\emptyset; (\zeta_{\{i\}})_{i\geq 1}; (\zeta_{\{i,j\}})_{j>i\geq 1}\}$ *i.i.d. Uniform random variables on* $[0,1]$*.*

By taking $\mathcal{X} = \{0,1\}$, the Aldous–Hoover theorem provides a general construction for all exchangeable random graphs with countably many vertices. We make repeated use of the representation in (24) throughout our discussion, with particular emphasis on the especially nice Aldous–Hoover representation and other special properties of the Erdős–Rényi process.

4.2. Erdős–Rényi random graphs.

For fixed $p \in [0,1]$, the *Erdős–Rényi measure* ε_p on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined as the distribution of $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ obtained by including each edge independently with probability p. The Erdős–Rényi measure is exchangeable for every $p \in [0,1]$. We call $\Gamma \sim \varepsilon_p$ an *Erdős–Rényi process* with parameter p.

By including each edge independently with probability p, the finite-dimensional distributions of $\Gamma \sim \varepsilon_p$ satisfy

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma|_{[n]} = F\} = \varepsilon_p^{(n)}(F) := \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} p^{F^{ij}}(1-p)^{1-F^{ij}} > 0, \quad F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\,. \tag{25}$$

Thus, ε_p has full support in the sense that it assigns positive measure to all open subsets of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Important to our proof of Theorems 3.1, 3.4, and 5.1, $\Gamma \sim \varepsilon_p$ admits an Aldous–Hoover representation $\Gamma =_{\mathcal{L}} (g_0(\zeta_\emptyset, \zeta_{\{i\}}, \zeta_{\{j\}}, \zeta_{\{i,j\}}))_{i,j\geq 1}$, where

$$g_0(\zeta_\emptyset, \zeta_{\{i\}}, \zeta_{\{j\}}, \zeta_{\{i,j\}}) = g_0'(\zeta_{\{i,j\}}) := \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq \zeta_{\{i,j\}} \leq p, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

4.3. **Feller processes.** Let $\mathbf{Z} := \{\mathbf{Z}_z : z \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ be a Markov process in any Polish space $\mathcal{Z}$. The *semigroup* $(\mathbf{P}_t)_{t\in T}$ of $\mathbf{Z}$ acts on bounded, measurable functions $g : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathbf{P}_t g(z) := \mathbb{E}(g(Z_t) \mid \Gamma_0 = z), \quad z \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

We say that $\mathbf{Z}$ possesses the *Feller property* if for all bounded, continuous $g : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$

(i) $z \mapsto \mathbf{P}_t g(z)$ is continuous for every $t > 0$ and
(ii) $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_t g(z) = g(z)$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Proposition 4.3. *The following are equivalent for any exchangeable Markov process* $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ *on* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

(i) $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ *is consistent.*
(ii) $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ *has the Feller property.*

Proof. **(i)⇒(ii)**: Compactness of the topology induced by (6) and the Stone–Weierstrass theorem imply that

$$\{g : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R} : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } G|_{[n]} = G'|_{[n]} \text{ implies } g(G) = g(G')\}$$

is dense in the space of continuous functions $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, every bounded, continuous $g : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{N}$ can be approximated to arbitrary accuracy by a function that depends on $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ only through $G|_{[n]}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any such approximation, the conditional expectation in the definition of the Feller property depends only on the restriction of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{[n]}$, which is a Markov chain. The first point in the Feller property follows immediately and the second follows soon after by noting that $\mathcal{G}_{[n]}$ is a finite state space and, therefore, $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{[n]}$ must have a strictly positive hold time in its initial state with probability one.

(ii)⇒(i): For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}$, we define $\psi_F : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \{0, 1\}$ by

$$\psi_F(G) := \mathbf{1}\{G|_{[n]} = F\}, \quad G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}},$$

which is bounded and continuous on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. To establish consistency, we must prove that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_t|_{[n]} = F \mid \Gamma_0 = G\} = \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_t|_{[n]} = F \mid \Gamma_0|_{[n]} = G|_{[n]}\}$$

for every $t \geq 0$, $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and $F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which amounts to showing that

$$\mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(G) = \mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(G^*) \tag{27}$$

for all $G, G^* \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ for which $G|_{[n]} = G^*|_{[n]}$.

By part (i) of the Feller property, $\mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(\cdot)$ is continuous and, therefore, it is enough to establish (27) on a dense subset of $\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} : G|_{[n]} = F\}$. Exchangeability implies that $\mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(G) = \mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(G^\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$ that coincide with the identity $[n] \to [n]$. To establish (27), we identify $G^* \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $G^*|_{[n]} = F$ and $\{G^{*\sigma} : \sigma \text{ coincides with the identity } [n] \to [n]\}$ is dense in $\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} : G|_{[n]} = F\}$.

For this, we draw $G^* \sim \varepsilon_{1/2}$ conditional on the event $\{G^*|_{[n]} = F\}$. Now, for every $F' \in \mathcal{G}_{[n']}$, $n' \geq n$, such that $F'|_{[n]} = F$, Kolmogorov's zero-one law guarantees a permutation $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that fixes $[n]$ and for which $G^{*\sigma}|_{[n']} = F'$. Therefore,

$$\{G^{*\sigma} : \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}, \sigma \text{ coincides with identity } [n] \to [n]\}$$

is dense in $\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} : G|_{[n]} = F\}$. By the invariance of $\mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(\cdot)$ with respect to permutations that fix $[n]$, $\mathbf{P}_t\psi_F$ is constant on a dense subset of $\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} : G|_{[n]} = F\}$. Continuity implies that $\mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(\cdot)$ must be constant on the closure of this set and, therefore, can depend only on the restriction to $\mathcal{G}_{[n]}$.

By part (ii) of the Feller property,

$$\mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(G^*) \to \psi_F(G^*) \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

It follows that each finite restriction $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{[n]}$ has càdlàg paths and is consistent. □

4.4. **More on graph limits.** Recall Definition 2.3 of dissociated random graphs. In this context, $\mathcal{D}^*$ corresponds to the space of exchangeable, dissociated probability measures on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, so we often conflate the two notions and write

$$D(F) := \gamma_D(\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} : G|_{[n]} = F\}), \quad F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

for each $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$. The space of graph limits $\mathcal{D}^*$ corresponds to the set of exchangeable, dissociated probability measures on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and is compact under metric

$$\|D - D'\| := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} \sum_{F\in\mathcal{G}_{[n]}} |D(F) - D'(F)|, \quad D, D' \in \mathcal{D}^*. \tag{28}$$

We furnish $\mathcal{D}^*$ with the trace of the Borel σ-field on $[0,1]^{\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}_{[m]}}$.

The Aldous–Hoover theorem (Theorem 4.2) provides the link between dissociated measures and exchangeable random graphs. In particular, dissociated graphs are ergodic with respect to the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$ in the space of exchangeable random graphs, i.e., the law of every exchangeable random graph is a mixture of dissociated random graphs, and to every exchangeable random graph Γ there is a unique probability measure Δ so that $\Gamma \sim \gamma_\Delta$ as in (11).

Example 4.4 (Graph limit of the Erdős–Rényi process). *For fixed $p \in [0,1]$, let $(\varepsilon_p^{(n)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the collection of finite-dimensional Erdős–Rényi measures in* (25). *The graph limit $|\Gamma|$ of $\Gamma \sim \varepsilon_p$ is deterministic and satisfies $\delta(F,\Gamma) = \varepsilon_p^{(n)}$ a.s. for every $F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Example 4.5 (Random graph limit). *In general, the graph limit of an exchangeable random graph Γ is a random element of $\mathcal{D}^*$. For example, let $\varepsilon_{\alpha,\beta}$ be the mixture of Erdős–Rényi measures by the Beta distribution with parameter (α,β), i.e.,*

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot) := \int_{[0,1]} \varepsilon_p(\cdot)\mathscr{B}_{\alpha,\beta}(dp),$$

where $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha,\beta}$ denotes the Beta distribution with parameter (α,β). The finite-dimensional distributions of $\Gamma \sim \varepsilon_{\alpha,\beta}$ are

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma|_{[n]} = F\} = \varepsilon_{\alpha,\beta}^{(n)}(F) := \frac{\alpha^{\uparrow n_1}\beta^{\uparrow n_0}}{(\alpha+\beta)^{\uparrow\binom{n}{2}}}, \quad F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{29}$$

where $n_1 := \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} \mathbf{1}\{ij \in F\}$, $n_0 := \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} \mathbf{1}\{ij \notin F\}$, and $\alpha^{\uparrow j} := \alpha(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+j-1)$. In this case, $\Gamma \sim \varepsilon_{\alpha,\beta}$ projects to a random element $|\Gamma|$ of $\mathcal{D}^*$, where $|\Gamma|$ is distributed over the graph limits of ε_p-distributed random graphs for p following the Beta distribution with parameter (α,β).

Remark 4.6 (Connection to Lovász–Szegedy graph limit theory). *Lovász and Szegedy* [9] *define a graph limit in terms of a measurable function $\phi : [0,1]\times[0,1] \to [0,1]$, called a* graphon. *To see the connection to our definition as an exchangeable, dissociated probability measure, we can generate a random graph Γ by first taking $U_1, U_2, \ldots$ i.i.d. Uniform random variables on* $[0,1]$. *Conditional on $U_1, U_2, \ldots$, we generate each Γ^{ij} independently according to*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma^{ij} = 1 \mid U_1, U_2, \ldots\} = \phi(U_i, U_j), \quad i < j.$$

The distribution of Γ *corresponds to* γ_D *for some* $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$.

Some comments about the Lovász–Szegedy notion of graph limit.

1. *For a given sequence of graphs* $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$*, Lovász and Szegedy's graphon* ([9], *Section 1*) *is not unique. In contrast, our interpretation of a graph limit as a probability measure is essentially unique (up to sets of measure zero).*
2. *Lovász and Szegedy's definition of graphon is a recasting of the Aldous–Hoover theorem in the special case of countable graphs; see Theorem 4.2 above.*
3. *Our definition of a countable graph* $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ *as the* projective limit *of a compatible sequence of finite graphs* $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *should not be confused with a* graph limit. *Any* $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ *corresponds to a unique compatible sequence* $(G_1, G_2, \ldots)$ *of finite graphs, whereas a graph limit* $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$ *corresponds to the measurable set of countable graphs* $|D|^{-1} := \{G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} : |G| = D\}$.

4.5. **Rewiring maps and their limits.** As defined in Section 3.1, a rewiring map W is a symmetric $\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$-valued array $(W^{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}$ with $(0,0)$ along the diagonal. We often regard W as a map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, a pair $(W_0, W_1) \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, or a $\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$-valued array, without explicit specification.

Given any $W \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]}$ and any injection $\phi : [m] \to [n]$, we define $W^{\phi} := (W^{\phi(i)\phi(j)})_{1\leq i,j\leq m}$. In particular, $W|_{[n]} := (W_0|_{[n]}, W_1|_{[n]})$ denotes the restriction of W to a rewiring map $\mathcal{G}_{[n]} \to \mathcal{G}_{[n]}$ and, for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$, W^{σ} is the image of W under relabeling by σ. We equip $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product-discrete topology induced by

$$d(W, W') := 1/\max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : W|_{[n]} = W'|_{[n]}\}, \quad W, W' \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}},$$

and the associated Borel σ-field $\sigma\langle \cdot|_{[n]}\rangle_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ generated by restriction maps.

Definition 4.7 (Exchangeable rewiring maps)**.** *A random rewiring map* $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ *is* exchangeable *if* $W =_{\mathcal{L}} W^{\sigma}$ *for all* $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$. *A measure* ω *on* $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ *is* exchangeable *if*

$$\omega(S) = \omega(S^{\sigma}) \quad \textit{for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}},$$

for every measurable subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$*, where* $S^{\sigma} := \{W^{\sigma} : W \in S\}$. *In particular, a probability measure* ω *on* $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ *is exchangeable if it determines the law of an exchangeable random rewiring map.*

Appealing to the notion of graph limits, we define the *density of* $V \in \mathcal{W}_{[m]}$ *in* $W \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]}$ by

$$\delta(V, W) := \mathrm{ind}(V, W)/n^{\downarrow m},$$

where, as in (7), $\mathrm{ind}(V, W)$ is the number of injections $\phi : [m] \to [n]$ for which $W^{\phi} = V$. We define the *limiting density of* $V \in \mathcal{W}_{[m]}$ *in* $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\delta(V, W) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(V, W|_{[n]}), \quad \text{if it exists.}$$

Definition 4.8 (Rewiring limits)**.** *The* rewiring limit *of* $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ *is the collection*

$$|W| := (\delta(V, W))_{V\in\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{[m]}},$$

provided $\delta(V, W)$ *exists for every* $V \in \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{[m]}$. *If* $\delta(V, W)$ *does not exist for some* V*, then we put* $|W| := \partial$. *We write* $\mathcal{V}^*$ *to denote the closure of* $\{|W| : W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}\} \setminus \{\partial\}$ *in* $[0,1]^{\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{[m]}}$.

Following the program of Section 2.2, every $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$ determines an exchangeable probability measure Ω_v on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$. We call Ω_v the *rewiring measure directed by* v, which is the essentially unique exchangeable measure on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that Ω_v-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ has $|W| = v$. Given any measure Υ on $\mathcal{V}^*$, we define the mixture of rewiring measures by

$$\Omega_{\Upsilon}(\cdot) := \int_{\mathcal{V}^*} \Omega_v(\cdot)\Upsilon(dv). \tag{30}$$

The space of rewiring limits $\mathcal{V}^*$ corresponds to the closure of exchangeable, dissociated probability measures on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and, therefore, is compact under the analogous metric to (28),

$$\|v - v'\| := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} \sum_{V\in\mathcal{W}_{[n]}} |v(V) - v'(V)|, \quad v, v' \in \mathcal{V}^*.$$

As for the space of graph limits, we furnish $\mathcal{V}^*$ with the trace Borel σ-field of $[0,1]^{\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{[m]}}$.

Lemma 4.9. *The following hold for general rewiring maps.*

(i) *As a map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant 1 and the rewiring operation is associative in the sense that*

$$(W \circ W') \circ W'' = W \circ (W' \circ W''), \quad \text{for all } W, W', W'' \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}.$$

(ii) $(W(G))^{\sigma} = W^{\sigma}(G^{\sigma})$ *for all $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$, $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$.*

(iii) *If $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is an exchangeable rewiring map, then $|W|$ exists almost surely. Moreover, for every $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$, Ω_v-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ has $|W| = v$.*

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) are immediate from the definition of rewiring. Part (iii) follows from a straightforward modification of the almost sure existence of $|\Gamma|$ for any exchangeable random graph Γ, cf. Theorem 4.2. □

5. Discrete-time chains and the rewiring measure

5.1. Conditional Aldous–Hoover theorem for graphs.

Theorem 5.1 (Conditional Aldous–Hoover theorem for graphs)**.** *Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ be an exchangeable Feller chain on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ whose transitions are governed by transition probability measure P. Then there exists a measurable function $f : [0,1]^4 \times \{0,1\} \to \{0,1\}$ satisfying $f(\cdot, b, c, \cdot, \cdot) = f(\cdot, c, b, \cdot, \cdot)$ such that, for every fixed $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, the distribution of $\Gamma' \sim P(G, \cdot)$ is identical to that of $\Gamma'^* := (\Gamma'^{*ij})_{i,j\geq 1}$, where*

$$\Gamma'^{*ij} = f(\zeta_{\emptyset}, \zeta_{\{i\}}, \zeta_{\{j\}}, \zeta_{\{i,j\}}, G^{ij}), \quad i, j \geq 1, \tag{31}$$

for $\{\zeta_{\emptyset}; (\zeta_{\{i\}})_{i\geq 1}; (\zeta_{\{i,j\}})_{j>i\geq 1}\}$ i.i.d. Uniform random variables on $[0,1]$.

The representation in (31) requires a delicate argument. By the implication (ii)⇒(i) in Proposition 4.3, we can immediately deduce a weaker representation for Γ' by $\Gamma'^* = (\Gamma'^{*ij})_{i,j\geq 1}$ with

$$\Gamma'^{*ij} = f(\zeta_{\emptyset}, \zeta_{\{i\}}, \zeta_{\{j\}}, \zeta_{\{i,j\}}, G|_{[i\vee j]}), \quad i, j \geq 1,$$

where $i \vee j := \max(i, j)$. The stronger representation in (31) says that the conditional distribution of each entry of Γ'^* depends only on the corresponding entry of G.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be an exchangeable Feller chain on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ with transition probability measure P. Let $\varepsilon_{1/2}$ denote the Erdős–Rényi measure with $p = 1/2$. To prove (31), we generate a jointly exchangeable pair (Γ, Γ'), where

$$\Gamma \sim \varepsilon_{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma' \mid \Gamma = G \sim P(G, \cdot).$$

By exchangeability of $\varepsilon_{1/2}$ and the transition probability measure P, (Γ,Γ') is jointly exchangeable, i.e., $(\Gamma^{\sigma},\Gamma'^{\sigma})=_{\mathcal{L}}(\Gamma,\Gamma')$ for all $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and, therefore, determines a weakly exchangeable $\{0,1\}\times\{0,1\}$-valued array. By the Aldous–Hoover theorem, there exists a measurable function $f:[0,1]^4\to\{0,1\}\times\{0,1\}$ such that $(\Gamma,\Gamma')=_{\mathcal{L}}(\Gamma^*,\Gamma'^*)$, where

$$(\Gamma^*,\Gamma'^*)^{ij}=f(\zeta_{\emptyset},\zeta_{\{i\}},\zeta_{\{j\}},\zeta_{\{i,j\}}),\quad i,j\geq 1,$$

for $\{\zeta_{\emptyset};(\zeta_{\{i\}})_{i\geq1};(\zeta_{\{i,j\}})_{j>i\geq1}\}$ i.i.d. Uniform$[0,1]$. By separating components, we can write $f=(f_0,f_1)$ so that

$$(\Gamma^{*ij},\Gamma'^{*ij})=(f_0(\zeta_{\emptyset},\zeta_{\{i\}},\zeta_{\{j\}},\zeta_{\{i,j\}}),f_1(\zeta_{\emptyset},\zeta_{\{i\}},\zeta_{\{j\}},\zeta_{\{i,j\}})),\quad i,j\geq 1.$$

With g_0 and g_0' defined as in (26), we have

$$(g_0(\zeta_{\emptyset},\zeta_{\{i\}},\zeta_{\{j\}},\zeta_{\{i,j\}}))_{i,j\geq1}\sim\varepsilon_{1/2}$$

and

$$(f_0(\zeta_{\emptyset},\zeta_{\{i\}},\zeta_{\{j\}},\zeta_{\{i,j\}}))_{i,j\geq1}=_{\mathcal{L}}(g_0(\zeta_{\emptyset},\zeta_{\{i\}},\zeta_{\{j\}},\zeta_{\{i,j\}}))_{i,j\geq1}=(g_0'(\zeta_{\{i,j\}}))_{i,j\geq1}.$$

Using Kallenberg's notation [7], we write $\hat{\zeta}_J=(\zeta_I)_{I\subseteq J}$ for subsets $J\subset\mathbb{N}$, so that $\hat{\zeta}_{\{i,j\}}=(\zeta_{\emptyset},\zeta_{\{i\}},\zeta_{\{j\}},\zeta_{\{i,j\}})$, $i,j\geq1$, and $\hat{\zeta}_{\{i\}}=(\zeta_{\emptyset},\zeta_{\{i\}})$, $i\geq1$. By Theorem 7.28 of [7], there exists a measurable function $h:[0,1]^2\cup[0,1]^4\cup[0,1]^8\to[0,1]$ such that for $\{\eta_{\emptyset};(\eta_{\{i\}})_{i\geq1};(\eta_{\{i,j\}})_{j>i\geq1}\}$ i.i.d. Uniform$[0,1]$ random variables, $h(\hat{\zeta}_J,\hat{\eta}_J)\sim$ Uniform$[0,1]$ and is independent of $\hat{\zeta}_J\setminus\{\zeta_J\}$ and $\hat{\eta}_J\setminus\{\eta_J\}$ for every $J\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ with two or fewer elements and

$$g_0'(\zeta_{\{i,j\}})=g_0(\hat{\zeta}_{\{i,j\}})=f_0(h(\zeta_{\emptyset},\eta_{\emptyset}),h(\hat{\zeta}_{\{i\}},\hat{\eta}_{\{i\}}),h(\hat{\zeta}_{\{j\}},\hat{\eta}_{\{j\}}),h(\hat{\zeta}_{\{i,j\}},\hat{\eta}_{\{i,j\}}))\quad\text{a.s. for every } i,j\geq1.$$

Now, put $\xi_{\emptyset}=h(\zeta_{\emptyset},\eta_{\emptyset})$, $\xi_{\{i\}}=h(\hat{\zeta}_i,\hat{\eta}_{\{i\}})$, and $\xi_{\{i,j\}}=h(\hat{\zeta}_{ij},\hat{\eta}_{\{i,j\}})$ so that $\{\xi_{\emptyset};(\xi_{\{i\}})_{i\geq1};(\xi_{\{i,j\}})_{j>i\geq1}\}$ are i.i.d. Uniform$[0,1]$ random variables. The generic Aldous–Hoover representation allows us to construct (Γ^*,Γ'^*) by

$$(\Gamma^{*ij},\Gamma'^{*ij})=(f_0(\hat{\xi}_{\{i,j\}}),f_1(\hat{\xi}_{\{i,j\}})),\quad i,j\geq1.$$

From Kallenberg's Theorem 7.28, (Γ^*,Γ'^*) also has the representation

$$(\Gamma^{*ij},\Gamma'^{*ij})=(f_0(\hat{\xi}_{\{i,j\}}),f_1(\hat{\xi}_{\{i,j\}}))=(g_0(\hat{\zeta}_{\{i,j\}}),g_1(\hat{\zeta}_{\{i,j\}},\hat{\eta}_{\{i,j\}})),\quad\text{a.s.},\quad i,j\geq1,$$

where $g_1:[0,1]^8\to\{0,1\}$ is the composition of f_1 with h. Again by the Coding Lemma, we can represent $(\hat{\zeta}_{\{i,j\}},\hat{\eta}_{\{i,j\}})_{i,j\geq1}$ by

$$(\hat{\zeta}_{\{i,j\}},\hat{\eta}_{\{i,j\}})_{i,j\geq1}=_{\mathcal{L}}(a(\hat{\alpha}_{\{i,j\}}))_{i,j\geq1},$$

where $\{\alpha_{\emptyset};(\alpha_{\{i\}})_{i\geq1};(\alpha_{\{i,j\}})_{j>i\geq1}\}$ are i.i.d. Uniform$[0,1]$.

It follows that (Γ,Γ') possesses a joint representation by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{*ij}&=g_0'(\alpha_{\{i,j\}})\quad\text{and}\\ \Gamma'^{*ij}&=g_1'(\alpha_{\emptyset},\alpha_{\{i\}},\alpha_{\{j\}},\alpha_{\{i,j\}}).\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

By the Coding Lemma, we can represent

$$(g_0'(\alpha_{\{i,j\}}),\alpha_{\{i,j\}})=_{\mathcal{L}}(g_0'(\alpha_{\{i,j\}}),u(g_0'(\alpha_{\{i,j\}}),\chi_{\{i,j\}}))$$

for $(\chi_{\{i,j\}})_{j>i\geq1}$ i.i.d. Uniform$[0,1]$ random variables independent of $(\alpha_{\{i,j\}})_{j>i\geq1}$. Thus, we define

$$g_1'(\alpha_{\emptyset},\alpha_{\{i\}},\alpha_{\{j\}},\chi_{\{i,j\}},G^{ij}):=g_1(\alpha_{\emptyset},\alpha_{\{i\}},\alpha_{\{j\}},u(G^{ij},\chi_{\{i,j\}}))=_{\mathcal{L}}g_1(\alpha_{\emptyset},\alpha_{\{i\}},\alpha_{\{j\}},\alpha_{\{i,j\}}),$$

so that

$$(\Gamma,\Gamma') =_{\mathcal{L}} (g_0'(\alpha_{\{i,j\}}), g_1'(\alpha_{\emptyset},\alpha_{\{i\}},\alpha_{\{j\}},\alpha_{\{i,j\}}, g_0'(\alpha_{\{i,j\}})))_{i,j\geq 1}.$$

Conditioning on $\{\Gamma = G\}$ gives

$$P(G,\cdot) = \mathbb{P}\{(g_1'(\alpha_{\emptyset},\alpha_{\{i\}},\alpha_{\{j\}},\alpha_{\{i,j\}}, G^{ij}))_{i,j\geq 1}\in\cdot\} \quad \text{for } \varepsilon_{1/2}\text{-almost every } G\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}.$$

By the Feller property, $P(G,\cdot)$ is continuous in the first argument and, thus, the above equality holds for all $G\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and representation (31) follows. □

5.2. **Discrete-time characterization.** The above construction of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ from the single process $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{\dagger}$ is closely tied to the even stronger representation in Theorem 3.1, according to which $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ can be constructed from a single i.i.d. sequence of exchangeable rewiring maps.

Proposition 5.2. *For an exchangeable probability measure ω on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$, let $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega} : G\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be constructed as in* (13) *from an i.i.d. sequence $W_1, W_2, \ldots$ from ω. Then $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega}$ is an exchangeable Feller chain on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$.*

Proof. By our assumption that $W_1, W_2, \ldots$ are independent and identically distributed, each $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega}$ has the Markov property. Exchangeability of ω and Lemma 4.9(ii) pass exchangeability along to $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega}$. By Lemma 4.9(i), every $W\in\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant 1, and so each $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_{G,\omega}$ also has the Markov property for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 4.3, $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega}$ is Feller and the proof is complete. □

Definition 5.3 (Rewiring Markov chains)**.** *We call $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega}$ constructed as in* (13) *a* rewiring chain *with* rewiring measure *ω. If $\omega = \Omega_{\Upsilon}$ for some probability measure Υ on $\mathcal{V}^*$, we call $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\Upsilon}$ a* rewiring chain directed by *Υ.*

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ be an exchangeable Feller chain on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Then there exists an exchangeable probability measure ω on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ so that $\mathbf{\Gamma} =_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega}$, where $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega} : G\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a rewiring Markov chain with rewiring measure ω.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, there exists a measurable function $f : [0,1]^4\times\{0,1\}\to\{0,1\}$ so that the transitions of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ can be constructed as in (31). From f, we define $f^* : [0,1]^4\to\{0,1\}^2$ by

$$f^*(a,b,c,d) := (f(a,b,c,d,0), f(a,b,c,d,1)).$$

Given i.i.d. Uniform[0,1] random variables $\{\zeta_{\emptyset}; (\zeta_{\{i\}})_{i\geq 1}; (\zeta_{\{i,j\}})_{j>i\geq 1}\}$, we construct a weakly exchangeable $\{0,1\}^2$-valued array $W^* := (W^{*ij})_{i,j\geq 1}$ by

$$W^{*ij} := f^*(\zeta_{\emptyset},\zeta_{\{i\}},\zeta_{\{j\}},\zeta_{\{i,j\}}),\quad i,j\geq 1.$$

We write ω to denote the essentially unique distribution of W^*.

Treating W^* as a rewiring map, the image $W^*(G) = \Gamma^*$ satisfies

$$\Gamma^{*ij} = f(\zeta_{\emptyset},\zeta_{\{i\}},\zeta_{\{j\}},\zeta_{\{i,j\}}, G^{ij}) \quad \text{for all } i,j\geq 1,$$

for every $G\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$; whence, $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega}$ in (13) has the correct transition probabilities.

The proof is complete. □

5.3. **Characterizing the rewiring measure.** Theorem 5.4 asserts that any exchangeable Feller chain on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ can be constructed as a rewiring chain. In the discussion surrounding Lemma 4.9 above, we identify every exchangeable probability measure ω with a unique probability measure Υ on $\mathcal{V}^*$ through the relation $\omega = \Omega_\Upsilon$, with Ω_Υ defined in (30). Our next proposition records this fact.

Proposition 5.5. *Let ω be an exchangeable probability measure on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Then there exists an essentially unique probability measure Υ on $\mathcal{V}^*$ such that $\omega = \Omega_\Upsilon := \int_{\mathcal{V}^*} \Omega_v \Upsilon(dv)$.*

Proof. This is a combination of the Aldous–Hoover theorem and Lemma 4.9(iii). By Lemma 4.9(iii), ω-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ possesses a rewiring limit, from which the change of variables formula gives

$$\omega(dW) := \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}} \Omega_{|V|}(dW)\omega(dV) = \int_{\mathcal{V}^*} \Omega_v(dW)|\omega|(dv) = \Omega_{|\omega|}(dW),$$

where $|\omega|$ denotes the image measure of ω by $|\cdot| : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{V}^* \cup \{\partial\}$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Follows from Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 5.5. □

5.4. **The induced chain on $\mathcal{D}^*$.** Any $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$ corresponds to a unique transition probability P_v on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, as defined in (14). Moreover, $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$ acts on $\mathcal{D}^*$ by composition of probability measures, as in (15). For $v, v' \in \mathcal{V}^*$, we define the product $P' = P_v P_{v'}$ by

$$P'(G, dG') := \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}} P_{v'}(G'', dG') P_v(G, dG''), \quad G, G' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}. \tag{33}$$

Lemma 5.6. *Let $W, W' \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ be independent exchangeable random rewiring maps such that $|W|$ and $|W'|$ exist almost surely. Then $|W' \circ W|$ exists almost surely and*

$$P_{|W' \circ W|} = P_{|W|} P_{|W'|} \quad a.s.$$

Proof. Follows by independence of W and W', the definition of $W' \circ W$, and the definition of $P_{|W|}P_{|W'|}$ in (33). □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Theorem 3.1, there exists a measure Υ on $\mathcal{V}^*$ such that we can regard $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ as $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_\Upsilon$, the rewiring chain directed by Υ. Thus, for every $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G = (\Gamma_m)_{m \geq 0}$ is determined by $\Gamma_0 = G$ and

$$\Gamma_m =_{\mathcal{L}} (W_m \circ \cdots \circ W_1)(G), \quad m \geq 1,$$

where $W_1, W_2, \ldots$ are i.i.d. with distribution Ω_Υ.

For any $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D = (\Gamma_m)_{m \geq 0}$ is generated from $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ by first taking $\Gamma_0 \sim \gamma_D$ and then putting $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D = \mathbf{\Gamma}_G$ on the event $\Gamma_0 = G$. By the Aldous–Hoover theorem along with exchangeability of γ_D and Ω_Υ, the graph limit $|\Gamma_m|$ exists almost surely for every $m \geq 0$ and, thus, $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D| := (|\Gamma_m|)_{m \geq 0}$ exists almost surely in $\mathcal{D}^*$.

Also, by Lemma 4.9(iii), $|W_1|, |W_2|, \ldots$ is i.i.d. from Υ, and, by Lemma 5.6, $|W_m \circ \cdots \circ W_1|$ exists almost surely and

$$P_{|W_m \circ \cdots \circ W_1|} = P_{|W_1|} \cdots P_{|W_m|} \quad \text{a.s. for every } m \geq 1.$$

Finally, by Theorem 3.1,

$$|\Gamma_m| =_{\mathcal{L}} |(W_m \circ \cdots \circ W_1)(\Gamma_0)| = |\Gamma_0||W_1| \cdots |W_m| \quad \text{a.s. for every } m \geq 1,$$

and so each $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D|$ is a Markov chain on $\mathcal{D}^*$ with initial state D and representation (17).

To establish the Feller property, we use the fact that $\mathcal{D}^*$ is compact and, therefore, any continuous function $h : \mathcal{D}^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous and bounded. The dominated convergence theorem and Lipschitz continuity of the action of $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$ on $\mathcal{D}^*$ immediately give part (i) of the Feller property. Part (ii) of the Feller property is trivial because $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{D}^*}|$ is a discrete-time Markov chain. □

We could interpret P' in (33) as the two-step transition probability measure for a time-inhomogeneous Markov chain on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ with first step governed by P_v and second step governed by $P_{v'}$. By this interpretation, Theorem 3.2 leads to another description of any exchangeable Feller chain $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ as a mixture of time-inhomogeneous Markov chains. In particular, we can construct $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ by first sampling $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ i.i.d. from the unique directing measure Υ. Each Y_i induces an exchangeable transition probability P_{Y_i} as in (14). At each time $m \geq 1$, given $\Gamma_{m-1}, \ldots, \Gamma_0$ and $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$, we generate $\Gamma_m \sim P_{Y_m}(\Gamma_{m-1}, \cdot)$.

5.5. **Examples.**

Example 5.7 (Product Erdős–Rényi chains). *Let* $(p_0, p_1) \in (0,1) \times (0,1)$ *and put* $\omega = \varepsilon_{p_0} \otimes \varepsilon_{p_1}$, *where* ε_p *denotes the Erdős–Rényi measure with parameter p. This measure generates transitions out of state* $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ *by flipping a* p_k*-coin with* $k = G^{ij}$ *independently for every* $j > i \geq 1$. *The limiting trajectory in* $\mathcal{D}^*$ *is deterministic and settles down to degenerate stationary distribution at the graph limit of an* ε_q*-random graph, with* $q := p_0/(1 - p_1 + p_0)$.

Example 5.8 (A reversible family of Markov chains). *The model in Example 5.7 generalizes by mixing* (p_0, p_1) *with respect to any measure on* $[0,1] \times [0,1]$. *For example, let* $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ *and define* $\omega = \varepsilon_{P_0} \otimes \varepsilon_{P_1}$ *for* $(P_0, P_1) \sim \mathscr{B}_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes \mathscr{B}_{\beta,\alpha}$, *where* $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha,\beta}$ *is the Beta distribution with parameter* (α, β). *The finite-dimensional transition probabilities of this mixture model are*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma^{[n]}_{m+1} = F' \mid \Gamma^{[n]}_{m} = F\} = \frac{\alpha^{\uparrow n_{00}}\beta^{\uparrow n_{01}}\beta^{\uparrow n_{10}}\alpha^{\uparrow n_{11}}}{(\alpha+\beta)^{\uparrow n_{0\bullet}}(\alpha+\beta)^{\uparrow n_{1\bullet}}}, \quad F, F' \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]},$$

where $n_{rs} := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbf{1}\{F^{ij} = r, F'^{ij} = s\}$ *and* $n_{r\bullet} := n_{r0} + n_{r1}$, $r = 0, 1$. *These transition probabilities are reversible with respect to*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma^{[n]} = F\} = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^{\uparrow n_0}(\alpha+\beta)^{\uparrow n_1}}{(2\alpha+2\beta)^{\uparrow \binom{n}{2}}}, \quad F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]},$$

where $n_r := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbf{1}\{F^{ij} = r\}$, *for* $r = 0, 1$.

6. Continuous-time processes and the Lévy–Itô measure

We now let $\mathbf{\Gamma} := \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be a continuous-time exchangeable Feller process on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Any such process can jump infinitely often in arbitrarily small time intervals; however, by the consistency property (4), every finite restriction $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{[n]}$ is a finite-state space Markov chain and can jump only finitely often in bounded intervals. As we show, the interplay between these possibilities restricts the behavior of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ in a precise way.

As before, $\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}$ stands for the identity map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Let ω be an exchangeable measure on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ that satisfies

$$\omega(\{\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}\}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[2]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[2]}\}) < \infty. \tag{34}$$

We proceed as in (19) and construct a process $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{\omega} := \{\Gamma^*_{G,\omega} : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ from a Poisson point process $\mathbf{W} = \{(t, W_t)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ with intensity $dt \otimes \omega$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let ω be an exchangeable measure satisfying* (34). *Then $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_\omega$ constructed in* (19) *is an exchangeable Feller process on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$.*

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_\omega$ is the restriction of $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_\omega$ to a process on $\mathcal{G}_{[n]}$. By construction, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_\omega)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a compatible collection of Markov processes and, thus, determines a process $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_\omega$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. By exchangeability of ω and (34),

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}) &= \omega\left(\bigcup_{1\leq i<j\leq n} \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{\{i,j\}} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{\{i,j\}}\}\right)\\
&\leq \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} \omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{\{i,j\}} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{\{i,j\}}\})\\
&= \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[2]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[2]}\})\\
&< \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

so that ω satisfies (18). Also by exchangeability of ω, each $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_\omega$ is an exchangeable Markov chain on $\mathcal{G}_{[n]}$. The limiting process $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_\omega$ is an exchangeable Feller process on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ by Proposition 4.3. □

Corollary 6.2. *Every exchangeable measure ω satisfying* (34) *determines the jump rates of an exchangeable Feller process on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$.*

By the Feller property, the transition law of each finite restriction $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{[n]}$ is determined by the infinitesimal jump rates

$$Q_n(F, F') := \lim_{t\downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma^{[n]}_t = F' \mid \Gamma^{[n]}_0 = F\}, \quad F, F' \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}, \quad F \neq F'. \tag{35}$$

Exchangeability (3) and consistency (4) of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ imply

$$Q_n(F^\sigma, F'^\sigma) = Q_n(F, F') \quad \text{for all permutations } \sigma : [n] \to [n] \quad \text{and} \tag{36}$$

$$Q_m(F|_{[m]}, F') = Q_n(F, \{F'' \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]} : F''|_{[m]} = F'\}) = \sum_{F''\in\mathcal{G}_{[n]}:\, F''|_{[m]}=F'} Q_n(F, F''), \tag{37}$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}$ and $F' \in \mathcal{G}_{[m]}$ such that $F|_{[m]} \neq F'$, $m \leq n$. Thus, for every $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ determines a pre-measure $Q(G, \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \{G\}$ by

$$Q(G, \{G' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} : G'|_{[n]} = F'\}) := Q_n(G|_{[n]}, F'), \quad F' \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]} \setminus \{G|_{[n]}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (37), $Q(G, \cdot)$ is additive. By Carathéodory's extension theorem, $Q(G, \cdot)$ has a unique extension to a measure on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \{G\}$.

In $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_\omega$ constructed above, ω determines the jump rates through

$$Q(G, \cdot) = \omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W(G) \in \cdot\}), \quad G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \{G\}.$$

In fact, we can show that the infinitesimal rates of any exchangeable Feller process are determined by an exchangeable measure ω that satisfies (34). Our main theorem (Theorem 3.4) gives a Lévy–Itô representation of this jump measure.

6.1. **Existence of an exchangeable jump measure.** Let $(\mathbf{P}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the Markov semigroup of an exchangeable Feller process $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. For every $t > 0$, Theorems 5.1 and 5.4 guarantee the existence of an exchangeable probability measure ω_t on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that, for every $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$,

$$\omega_t(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W(G) \in \cdot\}) = \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_t \in \cdot \mid \Gamma_0 = G\}. \tag{38}$$

By the relationship between $(\omega_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and the time-homogeneous Markov process $(\Gamma_t)_{t\geq 0}$, ω_t is exchangeable for every $t > 0$, and the Chapman–Kolmogorov theorem implies that $(\omega_t)_{t>0}$ satisfies

$$\omega_{t+s}(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W(G) \in \cdot\}) = \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}} \omega_t(\{W' \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : (W' \circ W)(G) \in \cdot\})\omega_s(dW), \tag{39}$$

for all $s, t \geq 0$ and all $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. The family $(\omega_t)_{t>0}$ is not determined by these conditions, but time-homogeneity and (38) allows us to choose a family $(\omega_t)_{t\geq 0}$ that satisfies the further semigroup property

$$\omega_{t+s}(\cdot) = \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}} \omega_t(\{W' \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W' \circ W \in \cdot\})\omega_s(dW), \quad s, t \geq 0.$$

By the Feller property, $W_t \sim \omega_t$ must also satisfy

$$\mathbf{P}_t g(G) = \mathbb{E}g(W_t(G)) \to g(G) \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0 \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}},$$

for all continuous $g : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}$. Thus, as $t \downarrow 0$, $W_t \to_P \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\omega_t \to_w \delta_{\{\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}\}}$, the point mass at the identity map. (Here, $\to_P$ denotes convergence in probability and $\to_w$ denotes weak convergence of probability measures.) By right-continuity at $t = 0$, we have a family $(\omega_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of measures on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

We obtain an infinitesimal jump measure ω on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \{\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ through its finite-dimensional restrictions $\omega^{(n)}$ on $\mathcal{W}_{[n]} \setminus \{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}$:

$$\omega^{(n)}(V) := \lim_{t\downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}\omega_t(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[n]} = V\}), \quad V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]} \setminus \{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\,. \tag{40}$$

Proposition 6.3. *The family of measures $(\omega^{(n)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in* (40) *determines a unique exchangeable measure ω that satisfies* (34).

Proof. The Borel σ-field $\sigma\left\langle \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{[n]} \right\rangle$ is generated by the π-system of events

$$\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[n]} = V\}, \quad V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]}$, we define

$$\omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[n]} = V\}) = \omega^{(n)}(V).$$

By construction, $(\omega^{(n)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is consistent and satisfies

$$\omega^{(m)}(V) = \sum_{V' \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]} : V'|_{[m]} = V} \omega^{(n)}(V')$$

for every $m \leq n$ and $V \in \mathcal{W}_{[m]} \setminus \{\mathbf{Id}_m\}$. Therefore, the function $\omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[m]} = V\}) = \omega^{(m)}(V)$ is additive and Carathéodory's extension theorem guarantees a unique extension to a measure on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \{\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega^{(n)}$ determines the jump rates of an exchangeable Markov chain on $\mathcal{G}_{[n]}$, and so $\omega^{(n)}(\mathcal{W}_{[n]} \setminus \{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}) < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Specifying $\omega(\{\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}\}) = 0$ gives (34). Exchangeability of every ω_t, $t \geq 0$, makes each $\omega^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, exchangeable and, hence, implies ω is exchangeable. □

Theorem 3.3. (Poissonian construction). *Let* $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ *be an exchangeable Feller process on* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. *Then there exists an exchangeable measure* ω *satisfying* (18) *such that* $\mathbf{\Gamma} =_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{\Gamma}^*_\omega$, *as constructed in* (19).

Proof. Let ω be the exchangeable measure from Proposition 6.3 and let $\mathbf{W} = \{(t, W_t)\}$ be a Poisson point process with intensity $dt \otimes \omega$. Since ω satisfies (34), Proposition 6.1 allows us to construct $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_\omega$ from $\mathbf{W}$ as in (19). The jump rates of each $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_\omega$ are determined by a thinned version $\mathbf{W}^{[n]}$ of $\mathbf{W}$ that only maintains the atoms (t, W_t) for which $W_t|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}$. By the thinning property of Poisson random measures (e.g. [6]), the intensity of $\mathbf{W}^{[n]}$ is $\omega^{(n)}$, as defined in (40), and it follows immediately that the jump rate from F to $F' \neq F$ in $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_\omega$ is

$$\omega^{(n)}(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]} : W(F) = F'\}) = Q_n(F, F'),$$

for $Q_n(\cdot,\cdot)$ in (35). Furthermore,

$$Q_n(F, \mathcal{G}_{[n]} \backslash \{F\}) = \omega^{(n)}(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]} : W(F) \neq F\}) < \infty$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By construction, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}^{*[n]}_\omega)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a compatible collection of càdlàg exchangeable Markov chains governed by the finite-dimensional transition law of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$. The proof is complete. □

6.1.1. *Standard ω-process.* For ω satisfying (18), we define the *standard ω-process* $\mathbf{W}^*_\omega := (W^*_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ as the $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$-valued Markov process with $W^*_0 = \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and infinitesimal jump rates

$$Q(W, dW') := \omega(\{W'' \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W'' \circ W \in dW'\}), \quad W \neq W' \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Associativity of the rewiring maps (Lemma 4.9(i)) makes $\mathbf{W} = \{\mathbf{W}_V : V \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ a consistent Markov process, where $\mathbf{W}_V := (W^*_t \circ V)_{t \geq 0}$ for each $V \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Thus, an alternative description to the construction of $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_\omega = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega} : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ in (19) is to put $\mathbf{\Gamma}^*_{G,\omega} = (W^*_t(G))_{t \geq 0}$, where $\mathbf{W}^*_\omega$ is a standard ω-process.

Corollary 6.4. *Let* $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ *be an exchangeable Feller process on* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. *Then there exists an exchangeable measure* ω *satisfying* (18) *such that* $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G =_{\mathcal{L}} (W^*_t(G))_{t \geq 0}$ *for every* $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, *where* $\mathbf{W}^*_\omega = (W^*_t)_{t \geq 0}$ *is a standard ω-process.*

6.2. **Lévy–Itô representation.** Our main theorem refines Theorem 3.3 with an explicit Lévy–Itô-type characterization of any exchangeable ω satisfying (34). The representation entails a few special measures, which we now introduce.

6.2.1. *Single edge updates.* For $j > i \geq 1$ and $k \in \{0, 1\}$, we define $\rho^{ij}_k : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ by $G \mapsto G' = \rho^{ij}_k(G)$, where

$$G'^{i'j'} = \begin{cases} G^{i'j'}, & i'j' \neq ij \\ k, & i'j' = ij. \end{cases}$$

In words, $G' = \rho^{ij}_k(G)$ coincides with G at every edge except $\{i, j\}$: irrespective of G^{ij}, ρ^{ij}_k puts $G'^{ij} = k$. We call ρ^{ij}_k a *single edge update map* and define the *single edge update measures* by

$$\epsilon_k(\cdot) := \sum_{1 \leq i < j < \infty} \delta_{\rho^{ij}_k}(\cdot), \quad k = 0, 1, \tag{41}$$

which place unit mass at each single edge update map ρ^{ij}_k.

6.2.2. *Single vertex updates.* For any vertex $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, the sequence of edges adjacent to i is an infinite $\{0,1\}$-valued sequence $(G^{ij})_{j\neq i}$. Let $x_0 = x_0^1 x_0^2 \cdots$ and $x_1 = x_1^1 x_1^2 \cdots$ be infinite sequences in $\{0,1\}$ and let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be any distinguished vertex. For $x = (x_0, x_1)$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $v_x^i : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ by $G' = v_x^i(G)$, where

$$G'^{i'j'} = \begin{cases} G^{i'j'}, & i' \neq i \text{ and } j' \neq i \\ x_0^{j'}, & i' = i \text{ and } G^{i'j'} = 0 \\ x_0^{i'}, & j' = i \text{ and } G^{i'j'} = 0 \\ x_1^{j'}, & i' = i \text{ and } G^{i'j'} = 1 \\ x_1^{i'}, & j' = i \text{ and } G^{i'j'} = 1 \end{cases}, \quad i' \neq j'. \tag{42}$$

We call v_x^i a *single vertex update map*, as it affects only edges incident to the distinguished vertex i.

When Γ is the realization of an exchangeable random graph, the sequence $(\Gamma^{ij})_{j\neq i}$ is exchangeable for all fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We ensure that the resulting $\Gamma' = v_x^i(\Gamma)$ is exchangeable by choosing x from an exchangeable probability distribution on pairs $X = (X_0, X_1)$ of infinite binary sequences. Let $\mathcal{S}_2$ denote the space of 2×2 stochastic matrices equipped with the Borel σ-field, i.e., each $S \in \mathcal{S}_2$ is a matrix $(S_{ii'})_{i,i'=0,1}$ such that

- $S_{ii'} \geq 0$ for all $i, i' = 0, 1$ and
- $S_{i0} + S_{i1} = 1$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Therefore, each row of $S \in \mathcal{S}_2$ determines a probability measure on $\{0,1\}$. From a probability measure Σ on $\mathcal{S}_2$, we write $W \sim \Omega_\Sigma^{(i)}$ to denote the probability measure of a random rewiring map $W =_{\mathcal{L}} v_X^i$ constructed by taking $S \sim \Sigma$ and, given S, generating X_0 and X_1 independently of one another according to

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_0^j = k \mid S\} = S_{0k}, \quad k = 0,1 \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathbb{P}\{X_1^j = k \mid S\} = S_{1k}, \quad k = 0,1,$$

independently for every $j = 1, 2, \ldots$. We then define $W = v_X^i$ as in (42). We define the *single vertex update measure directed by* Σ by

$$\Omega_\Sigma(\cdot) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_\Sigma^{(i)}(\cdot). \tag{43}$$

For the reader's convenience, we restate Theorem 3.4, whose proof relies on Lemmas 6.5, 6.6, and 6.7 from Section 6.3 below.

Theorem 3.4 (Lévy–Itô representation). *Let* $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\omega^* = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_{G,\omega}^* : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ *be an exchangeable Feller process constructed in* (19) *based on intensity* ω *satisfying* (18). *Then there exist unique constants* $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{v} \geq 0$, *a unique probability measure* Σ *on* 2×2 *stochastic matrices, and a unique measure* Υ *on* $\mathcal{V}^*$ *satisfying*

$$\Upsilon(\{\mathbf{I}\}) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_{\mathcal{V}^*} (1 - v_*^{(2)}) \Upsilon(dv) < \infty \tag{44}$$

such that

$$\omega = \Omega_\Upsilon + \mathbf{v}\Omega_\Sigma + \mathbf{e}_0 \epsilon_0 + \mathbf{e}_1 \epsilon_1. \tag{45}$$

6.3. **Key lemmas.** In the following three lemmas, we always assume that ω is an exchangeable measure satisfying (34). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\omega_n := \omega \mathbf{1}_{\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}:\, W|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}} \tag{46}$$

as the restriction of ω to the event $\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}$. While ω can have infinite mass, the right-hand side of (34) assures that ω_n is finite for all $n \geq 2$. Exchangeability of ω implies that ω_n is invariant with respect to all finite permutations $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that fix $[n]$. Thus, we recover a finite, exchangeable measure from ω_n by defining the *n-shift* of $W = (W^{ij})_{i,j \geq 1}$ by $\overleftarrow{W}_n := (W^{n+i,n+j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ and letting $\overleftarrow{\omega}_n$ be the image of ω_n by the n-shift, i.e.,

$$\overleftarrow{\omega}_n(\cdot) := \omega_n(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : \overleftarrow{W}_n \in \cdot\}). \tag{47}$$

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose ω is exchangeable and satisfies* (34). *Then ω-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ possesses a rewiring limit $|W|$.*

Proof. Let ω_n be the finite measure defined in (46). The image measure $\overleftarrow{\omega}_n$ in (47) is finite, exchangeable, and, therefore, proportional to an exchangeable probability measure on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$. By Lemma 4.9(iii), $\overleftarrow{\omega}_n$-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ possesses a rewiring limit. Since the rewiring limit of $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ depends only on $\overleftarrow{W}_n$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that ω_n-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ possesses a rewiring limit. Finally, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\omega_n \uparrow \omega_\infty = \omega \mathbf{1}_{\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}:\, W \neq \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}\}},$$

the restriction of ω to $\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W \neq \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$. By the left-hand side of (34), ω assigns zero mass to $\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W = \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$, and so $\omega_\infty = \omega$. The monotone convergence theorem now implies that ω-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ possesses a rewiring limit. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose ω is exchangeable, satisfies* (34), *and ω-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ has $|W| \neq \mathbf{I}$. Then $\omega = \Omega_\Upsilon$ for some unique measure Υ satisfying* (44).

Proof. Let ω_n be as in (46) and for any measurable set $A \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ let $\omega_n(\cdot \mid A)$ denote the measure conditional on the event A. For fixed $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$ and $A_n := \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : \overleftarrow{W}_n|_{[2]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[2]}\}$, ω_n satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_n(A_n \mid |W| = v) &= \overleftarrow{\omega}_n(\{W|_{[2]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[2]}\} \mid |W| = v) \\ &= \Omega_v(\{W|_{[2]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[2]}\}) \\ &= 1 - v_*^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_*^{(2)}$ is the component of $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$ corresponding to $\mathbf{Id}_{[2]}$. By Lemma 6.5, the image of ω_n by taking rewiring limits, denoted $|\omega_n|$, is well-defined and

$$\omega_n(A_n) = \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}} (1 - v_*^{(2)}) \omega_n(|W| \in dv) = \int_{\mathcal{V}^*} (1 - v_*^{(2)}) |\omega_n|(dv).$$

By the monotone convergence theorem, $|\omega_n|$ converges to a unique measure $\Upsilon := |\omega|$ on $\mathcal{V}^*$. Since ω-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ has $|W| \neq \mathbf{I}$, this limiting measure satisfies $\Upsilon(\{\mathbf{I}\}) = 0$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}^*} (1 - v_*^{(2)}) |\omega_n|(dv) \uparrow \int_{\mathcal{V}^*} (1 - v_*^{(2)}) \Upsilon(dv)$$

and

$$\omega_n(A_n) \leq \omega(A_n) = \omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[2]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[2]}\}) < \infty.$$

Therefore, Υ satisfies (44) and Ω_Υ satisfies (34).

We must still show that $\omega = \Omega_\Upsilon$. By Proposition 5.5, we can write

$$\overleftarrow{\omega}_n(dW) = \int_{\mathcal{V}^*} \Omega_v(dW)|\overleftarrow{\omega}_n|(dv),$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the monotone convergence theorem and exchangeability,

$$\lim_{m\uparrow\infty} \overleftarrow{\omega}_m(\{W : W|_{[n]} = V\}) = \omega(\{W : W|_{[n]} = V\}),$$

for every $V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]} \setminus \{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, for every $m \geq 1$,

$$\overleftarrow{\omega}_m(\{W : W|_{[n]} = V\}) = \int_{\mathcal{V}^*} \Omega_v(\{W : W|_{[n]} = V\})\,|\overleftarrow{\omega}_m|(dv),$$

which increases to $\Omega_\Upsilon(\{W : W|_{[n]} = V\})$ as $m \to \infty$. By uniqueness of limits, Ω_Υ and ω agree on a generating π-system of the Borel σ-field and, therefore, they agree on all measurable subsets of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 6.7. *Suppose ω is exchangeable, satisfies* (34), *and ω-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ has $|W| = \mathbf{I}$. Then there exist unique constants $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{v} \geq 0$ and a unique probability measure Σ on $\mathcal{S}_2$ such that*

$$\omega = \mathbf{v}\Omega_\Sigma + \mathbf{e}_0\epsilon_0 + \mathbf{e}_1\epsilon_1,$$

where ϵ_k is defined in (41) *and Ω_Σ is defined in* (43).

Proof. For any $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
L_W(i) &:= \limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\{W^{ij} \neq (0,1)\},\\
S_W(\varepsilon) &:= \{i \in \mathbb{N} : L_W(i) \geq \varepsilon\},\\
|S_W(\varepsilon)| &:= \limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\{i \in S_W(\varepsilon)\}, \quad \text{and}\\
V(\varepsilon,\delta) &:= \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : |S_W(\varepsilon)| \geq \delta\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can partition the event $\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : |W| = \mathbf{I}\}$ by $V \cup E$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
V &:= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : L_W(i) > 0\} \quad \text{and}\\
E &:= \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : L_W(i) = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can decompose ω as the sum of singular measures

$$\omega = \omega_V + \omega_E,$$

where ω_V and ω_E are the restrictions of ω to V and E, respectively. As in (46), we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{V,n} &:= \omega_V \mathbf{1}_{\{W\in\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}:\, W|_{[n]}\neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}} \quad \text{and}\\
\omega_{E,n} &:= \omega_E \mathbf{1}_{\{W\in\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}:\, W|_{[n]}\neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}}
\end{aligned}$$

to denote the restrictions of ω to $V \cap \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}$ and $E \cap \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}$, respectively. By (34), both $\omega_{V,n}$ and $\omega_{E,n}$ are finite for all $n \geq 2$ and their images under the n-shift, denoted $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{V,n}$ and $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{E,n}$, are exchangeable.

Case V: Restricting our attention first to V, we note that $|W| = \mathbf{I}$ implies

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{-2} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} \mathbf{1}\{W^{ij} \neq (0,1)\} = \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-2} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} \mathbf{1}\{W^{ij} \neq (0,1)\} = 0.$$

By the law of large numbers for exchangeable sequences, we can replace the upper limits in our definition of $L_W(i)$ and $|S_W(\varepsilon)|$ by proper limits for ω-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$. For any $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, ω-almost every $W \in V(\varepsilon, \delta)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{-2} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} \mathbf{1}\{W^{ij} \neq (0,1)\} = \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-2} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} \mathbf{1}\{W^{ij} \neq (0,1)\} \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \lim_{m\to\infty} (nm)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{1}\{W^{ij} \neq (0,1)\} \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lim_{m\to\infty} m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{1}\{W^{ij} \neq (0,1)\} \\
&\geq \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon \mathbf{1}\{i \in S_W(\varepsilon)\} \\
&\geq \varepsilon\delta \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

contradicting the assumption that ω-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ has $|W| = \mathbf{I}$. (In the above string of inequalities, passage from the second to third line follows from exchangeability and the law of large numbers and the interchange of sum and limit in the fourth line is permitted because the sum is finite and each of the limits exists by exchangeability and the law of large numbers.) Therefore, ω assigns zero mass to $V(\varepsilon, \delta)$ for all $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, and so ω-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ must have $|S_W(\varepsilon)| = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. In this case, either $\#S_W(\varepsilon) < \infty$ or $\#S_W(\varepsilon) = \infty$.

Treating the latter case first, we define the n-shift $\overleftarrow{W}_n$ of $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ as above, so that $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{V,n}$ is finite, exchangeable, and assigns positive mass to the event

$$\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : |S_W(\varepsilon)| = 0 \text{ and } \#S_{\overleftarrow{W}_n}(\varepsilon) = \infty\}.$$

We can regard $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{V,n}$ as a constant multiple of an exchangeable probability measure θ_n on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$, so that for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $(\mathbf{1}\{L_{\overleftarrow{W}_n}(i) \geq \varepsilon\})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an exchangeable $\{0,1\}$-valued sequence under θ_n. By de Finetti's theorem,

$$|S_W(\varepsilon)| = \lim_{m\to\infty} m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{1}\{L_{\overleftarrow{W}_n}(i) \geq \varepsilon\} = 0 \quad \theta_n\text{-a.s.},$$

which implies $L_{\overleftarrow{W}_n}(i) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{V,n}$-a.e., a contradiction.

Now consider the case $\#S_W(\varepsilon) < \infty$. We claim that $\#S_W(\varepsilon) = 1$ almost surely. Suppose $\#S_W(\varepsilon) = k \geq 2$ so that $\{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\}$ is the list of indices for which $L_W(i_j) \geq \varepsilon$. Taking $n = i_k - 1$, we know that $\omega_{V,n}$ is a finite measure that is invariant under permutations that fix $[n]$. Under the n-shift, $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{V,n}$ is finite, exchangeable, and assigns equal mass to events of the form

$$A_i = \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : L_{\overleftarrow{W}_n}(i) \geq \varepsilon,\ L_{\overleftarrow{W}_n}(j) < \varepsilon \text{ for } j \neq i\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

Thus, if $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{V,n}(A_i) > 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{V,n}$ has infinite total mass. Since this argument applies as long as $\#S_W(\varepsilon) = k \geq 2$, it follows that ω must assign zero mass to $\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : \#S_W(\varepsilon) > 1\}$.

On the other hand, if $\#S_W(\varepsilon) = 1$, then ω must assign the same mass to all events

$$A_i = \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : L_W(i) \geq \varepsilon,\ L_W(j) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } j \neq i\}.$$

In this case,

$$\omega_V(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{V,n}(A_i) = n\omega_{V,n}(A_1) < \infty, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which does not contradict (18).

Since the above holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there must be a unique vertex $i \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $L_W(i) > 0$. By exchangeability and the right-hand side of (34), $(W^{ij})_{j \neq i}$ is governed by a finite measure $\mathbf{v}_i\Sigma_i$, where $\mathbf{v}_i \geq 0$ and Σ_i is the unique probability measure guaranteed by de Finetti's theorem. Thus,

$$\omega_V = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{v}_i \Omega_{\Sigma_i}^{(i)}.$$

Exchangeability of ω requires that each term is equal, so there is a unique probability measure Σ and unique $\mathbf{v} \geq 0$ for which

$$\omega_V = \mathbf{v} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{\Sigma}^{(i)}.$$

Just as any exchangeable rewiring map determines a transition kernel from $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$, any exchangeable $\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$-valued sequence determines an exchangeable transition kernel from $\{0,1\}$ to $\{0,1\}$: let $W = (W_0^i, W_1^i)_{i \geq 1}$ be $\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$-valued and for $x = (x^i)_{i \geq 1}$ in $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ define $x' = W(x)$ by

$$x'^i = \begin{cases} W_0^i, & x^i = 0 \\ W_1^i, & x^i = 1. \end{cases}$$

A straightforward argument along the same lines as Theorem 5.1 (with the obvious substitution of de Finetti's theorem for Aldous–Hoover) puts exchangeable $\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$-valued sequences in correspondence with exchangeable transition kernels on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. The ergodic measures in this space are in correspondence with the unit square $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ and, thus, also the space of 2×2 stochastic matrices, allowing us to regard Σ as a probability measure on $\mathcal{S}_2$. □

Case E: On event E, $W^{ij} \neq (0,1)$ occurs for a zero proportion of all pairs ij and also a zero proportion of all $j \neq i$ for a fixed i. For $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$, we define

$$E_W := \{ij : W^{ij} \neq (0,1)\},$$

which must satisfy either $\#E_W = \infty$ or $\#E_W < \infty$. Also, since $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{E,n}$ is finite, we can write $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{E,n} \propto \theta_n$ for some exchangeable probability measure θ_n on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$, for each $n \geq 2$.

Suppose first that $\#E_W = \infty$. Then $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{E,2} < \infty$ implies $\overleftarrow{W}_2 \sim \theta_2$ is an exchangeable $\{0,1\}$-valued array with

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{-2} \sum_{1\le i,j\le n} \mathbf{1}\{\overleftarrow{W}_2^{ij} \neq (0,1)\} = 0.$$

By the Aldous–Hoover theorem, $\#E_{\overleftarrow{W}_2} = 0$ $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{E,2}$-almost everywhere, forcing all edges in E_W to be in the first row of W. As $m \to \infty$, $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{E,m}$ forces all edges of E_W to be in the first $m-1$ rows of $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$. By exchangeability, all elements of E_W must be in the same row for ω_E-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Thus, ω_E assigns equal mass to each event $R_i \subseteq E$, where

$$R_i := \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : i'j' \in E_W \quad \text{if and only if} \quad i \in \{i', j'\}\}$$

is the event that all non-trivial entries of W are in the ith row.

For every $n \ge 2$, $\omega_{E,n}$ is exchangeable with respect to permutations that fix $[n] \to [n]$, and so $(W^{n,n+j})_{j\ge1}$ is exchangeable for each $n \ge 1$ and

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty} m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{1}\{W^{n,n+j} \neq (0,1)\} = 0.$$

By de Finetti's theorem, $W^{n,n+j} = 0$ for all $j \ge 1$ almost surely, and so $\omega_{E,n}$-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ must have $\#E_W < \infty$, for every $n \ge 2$. By the monotone convergence theorem, ω_E-almost every W must have $\#E_W < \infty$.

Now suppose that $\#E_W < \infty$. Then $\omega_E = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_{E,R_i}$, where ω_{E,R_i} is the restriction of ω_E to R_i for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By exchangeability, every ω_{E,R_i} must determine the same exchangeable measure on $\{0,1\}$-valued sequences. By a similar argument as for Case V above, we can rule out all possibilities except the event that $W^{ij} \neq (0,1)$ for a unique pair ij, $i \neq j$. For suppose $\#E_W = k \ge 2$, then there is a unique $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$i'j' \in E_W \quad \text{if and only if} \quad i \in \{i', j'\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $i = 1$ so that we can identify $E_W = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : W^{1j} \neq (0,1)\} = \{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k\}$ with a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. We define $n = i_k - 1$ and $X_{W,n} = (\mathbf{1}\{W^{1,n+j} \neq (0,1)\})_{j\ge1}$. By assumption, $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{E,n}$ is finite and exchangeable, and so $X_{W,n}$ is an exchangeable $\{0,1\}$-valued sequence with only a single non-zero entry. By exchangeability, $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{E,n}$ assigns the same mass to all sequences in $\{X_{W,n}^{(n+1,n+j)} : j \ge 1\}$, where $(n+1, n+j)$ represents the transposition of elements $n+1$ and $n+j$. It follows that $X_{W,n}$ can have positive mass only if $\overleftarrow{\omega}_{E,n}$ has infinite total mass, a contradiction.

On the other hand, if $\#E_W = 1$, then we can express ω_E as

$$\omega_E = \sum_{j>i\ge1} (\mathbf{c}_0^{ij}\delta_{\rho_0^{ij}} + \mathbf{c}_1^{ij}\delta_{\rho_0^{ij}} + \mathbf{c}_{10}^{ij}\delta_{\rho_{10}^{ij}}),$$

where $\mathbf{c}_0^{ij}, \mathbf{c}_1^{ij}, \mathbf{c}_{10}^{ij} \ge 0$, ρ_0^{ij} and ρ_1^{ij} are the single edge update maps defined before (41), and ρ_{10}^{ij} is the rewiring map W with all $W^{i'j'} = (0,1)$ except $W^{ij} = (1,0)$. This measure clearly assigns zero mass to $\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}$, but it is exchangeable only if there are $\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_{10} \ge 0$ such that $\mathbf{c}_0^{ij} = \mathbf{c}_0$, $\mathbf{c}_1^{ij} = \mathbf{c}_1$, and $\mathbf{c}_{10}^{ij} = \mathbf{c}_{10}$ for all $j > i \ge 1$. This measure is exchangeable and satisfies the right-hand side of (18). Since ρ_{10}^{ij} gives $\rho_{10}^{ij}(W) = \rho_1^{ij}(W)$ whenever $W^{ij} = 0$ and $\rho_{10}^{ij}(W) = \rho_0^{ij}(W)$ when $W^{ij} = 1$, we define $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{c}_0 + \mathbf{c}_{10}$ and $\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{c}_{10}$. The proof is complete.

$\square$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.4. By Lemma 6.5, ω-almost every $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}}$ possesses a rewiring limit $|W|$ and we can express ω as a sum of singular components:

$$\omega = \omega \mathbf{1}_{\{|W| \neq \mathbf{I}\}} + \omega \mathbf{1}_{\{|W| = \mathbf{I}\}}.$$

By Lemma 6.6, the first term corresponds to Ω_{Υ} for some unique measure Υ satisfying (44). By Lemma 6.7, the second term corresponds to $\mathbf{v}\Omega_{\Sigma} + \mathbf{e}_0 \epsilon_0 + \mathbf{e}_1 \epsilon_1$ for a unique probability measure Σ on $\mathcal{S}_2$ and unique constants $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1 \geq 0$. The proof is complete. □

6.4. **The projection into $\mathcal{D}^*$.** Let $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ by an exchangeable Feller process and, for $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$, recall the definition of $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D = (\Gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ as the process obtained by first taking $\Gamma_0 \sim \gamma_D$ and then putting $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D = \mathbf{\Gamma}_G$ on the event $\Gamma_0 = G$. We now show that the projection $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D| = (|\Gamma_t|)_{t \geq 0}$ into $\mathcal{D}^*$ exists simultaneously for all $t \geq 0$ with probability one. We also prove that these projections determine a Feller process on $\mathcal{D}^*$ by

$$|\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{D}^*}| = \{|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D| : D \in \mathcal{D}^*\}.$$

Proposition 6.8. *Let $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be an exchangeable Feller process on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. For any $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$, let $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D = (\Gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be obtained by taking $\Gamma_0 \sim \gamma_D$ and putting $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D = \mathbf{\Gamma}_G$ on the event $\Gamma_0 = G$. Then the graph limit $|\Gamma_t|$ exists almost surely for every $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. For each $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$, this follows immediately by exchangeability of $\Gamma_0 \sim \gamma_D$, exchangeability of the transition law of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, and the Aldous–Hoover theorem. □

Proposition 6.8 only establishes that the graph limits exist at any countable collection of times. Theorem 3.7 establishes that $|\Gamma_t|$ exists simultaneously for all $t \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be an exchangeable Feller process on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Then $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{D}^*}|$ exists almost surely and is a Feller process on $\mathcal{D}^*$. Moreover, each $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D|$ is continuous at all $t > 0$ except possibly at the times of Type (III) discontinuities.*

Recall that every $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$ corresponds to a probability measure γ_D on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$. We equip $\mathcal{D}^*$ with the metric (28), under which it is a compact space. Furthermore, any $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$ corresponds to a transition probability P_v on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and, thus, determines a Lipschitz continuous map $v : \mathcal{D}^* \to \mathcal{D}^*$ through $D \mapsto Dv$ as in (15). Consequently,

$$\|Dv - D'v\| \leq \|D - D'\| \quad \text{for all } D, D' \in \mathcal{D}^* \text{ and all } v \in \mathcal{V}^*.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Existence. Fix any $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and let $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{[0,1]} = (\Gamma^{ij}_{[0,1]})_{i,j \geq 1}$ denote the array of edge trajectories in $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D$ on the interval $[0,1]$. By the consistency assumption for $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, each $\Gamma^{ij}_{[0,1]}$ is an alternating collection of finitely many 0s and 1s. Formally, each $\Gamma^{ij}_{[0,1]} = y$ is a partition of $[0,1]$ into finitely many non-overlapping intervals J_l along with an initial status $y_0 \in \{0,1\}$. The starting condition y_0 determines the entire path $y = (y_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$, because y must alternate between states 0 and 1 in the successive subintervals J_l.

We denote this space by $\mathcal{I}$, and we write $\mathcal{I}^*$ to denote the closure of $\mathcal{I}$ in the Skorokhod space of càdlàg functions $[0,1] \to \{0,1\}$. We can partition $\mathcal{I}^* := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{I}^*_m$, where $\mathcal{I}^*_m$ is the closure of

$$\{y \in \mathcal{I}^* : y \text{ has exactly } m \text{ sub-intervals}\}.$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{I}^*$ is complete, separable, and Polish. The fact that $\mathcal{I}^*$ is Polish allows us to apply the Aldous–Hoover theorem to study the $\mathcal{I}^*$-valued array $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{[0,1]}$.

Since the initial distribution of $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D$ is exchangeable, the entire path $(\Gamma_t)_{t\geq 0}$ satisfies

$$(\Gamma_t^\sigma)_{t\geq 0} =_{\mathcal{L}} (\Gamma_t)_{t\geq 0} \quad \text{for all permutations } \sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\,.$$

In particular, the array $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{[0,1]}$ of edge trajectories is weakly exchangeable. By the Aldous–Hoover theorem, we can express $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{[0,1]}$ as a mixture of exchangeable, dissociated $\mathcal{I}^*$-valued arrays. Thus, it suffices to prove existence of $|Y| = (|Y_t|)_{t\in[0,1]}$ for exchangeable, dissociated $\mathcal{I}^*$-valued arrays $Y = (Y^{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}$.

To this end, we treat Y just as the edge trajectories of a graph-valued process on $[0,1]$, so Y_t^{ij} denotes the status of edge ij at time $t \in [0,1]$ and $\delta(F, Y_t)$ is the limiting density of any finite subgraph $F \in \mathcal{G}^*$ in Y_t. To show that $(|Y_t|)_{t\in[0,1]}$ exists simultaneously at all $t \in [0,1]$, we show that the upper and lower limits of $(\delta(F, Y_t^{[n]}))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ coincide for all $t \in [0,1]$ simultaneously. In particular, for fixed $F \in \mathcal{G}_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \in [0,1]$ we write

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_t^+(F) &:= \limsup_{n\to\infty} \delta(F, Y_t^{[n]}) \quad \text{and}\\ \delta_t^-(F) &:= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \delta(F, Y_t^{[n]}).\end{aligned}$$

We already know, cf. Proposition 6.8, that $\mathbb{P}\{\delta_t^+(F) = \delta_t^-(F)\} = 1$ for all *fixed* $t \in [0,1]$, but we wish to show that $\delta_t^+(F) = \delta_t^-(F)$ almost surely for *all* $t \in [0,1]$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |\delta_t^+(F) - \delta_t^-(F)| = 0\} = 1.$$

Each path $Y_{[0,1]}^{ij} = (Y_t^{ij})_{t\in[0,1]}$ has finitely many discontinuities almost surely and so must $Y_{[0,1]}^{[n]} := (Y_{[0,1]}^{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite subset $S_\varepsilon \subset [0,1]$ and an at most countable partition $J_1, J_2, \ldots$ of the open set $[0,1] \setminus S_\varepsilon$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\{Y_{[0,1]}^{ij} \text{ is discontinuous at } s\} \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } s \in S_\varepsilon \text{ and}\\ &\mathbb{P}\{Y_{[0,1]}^{ij} \text{ is discontinuous in } J_l\} < \varepsilon, \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots.\end{aligned}$$

Without such a partition, there must be a sequence of intervals $(t - \rho_n, t + \rho_n)$ with $\rho_n \to 0$ that converges to $t \notin S_\varepsilon$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{Y_{[0,1]}^{ij} \text{ is discontinuous in } (t - \rho_n, t + \rho_n)\} \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } n \geq 1.$$

Continuity from above implies

$$\mathbb{P}\{Y_{[0,1]}^{ij} \text{ is discontinuous at } t \notin S_\varepsilon\} \geq \varepsilon > 0,$$

which contradicts the assumption $t \notin S_\varepsilon$.

The strong law of large numbers also implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\{Y^{12} \text{ is discontinuous in } J_l\} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} \mathbf{1}\{Y^{ij} \text{ is discontinuous in } J_l\} < \varepsilon \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for each sub-interval J_l, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$. By ergodicity of the action of $\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{N}$ for dissociated arrays, $\delta_t^+(F)$ and $\delta_t^-(F)$ cannot vary by more than ε over J_l and, since $\delta_t^+(F) = \delta_t^-(F)$ almost surely for each endpoint of J_l,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in J_l} |\delta_t^+(F) - \delta_t^-(F)| \leq 2\varepsilon\} = 1$$

for all $l = 1, 2, \ldots$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Since $[0,1]$ is covered by at most countably many sub-intervals J_l, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$, and the non-random set $S := \bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} S_\varepsilon$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |\delta_t^+(F) - \delta_t^-(F)| \leq 2\varepsilon\} = 1 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ for every } F \in \mathcal{G}^*.$$

Continuity from above implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |\delta_t^+(F) - \delta_t^-(F)| = 0\} = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |\delta_t^+(F) - \delta_t^-(F)| \leq 2\varepsilon\} = 1;$$

thus, $\mathbb{P}\{\delta(F, Y_t) \text{ exists at all } t \in [0,1]\} = 1$ for every $F \in \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_{[m]}$. Countable additivity of probability measures implies that this limit exists almost surely for all $F \in \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_m$ and, thus, $Y_{[0,1]}$ determines a process on $\mathcal{D}^*$ almost surely.

The fact that these limits are deterministic follows from the 0-1 law and our assumption that Y is dissociated. By the Aldous–Hoover theorem, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D$ is a mixture of exchangeable, dissociated processes, so we conclude that $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D|$ exists almost surely for every $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$. □

Feller property. By Corollary 6.4, we can assume $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_G : G \in \mathcal{G}_\mathbb{N}\}$ is constructed by $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G = (W_t^*(G))_{t\geq 0}$, for each $G \in \mathcal{G}_\mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbf{W}_\omega = (W_t^*)_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard ω-process. The standard ω-process has the Feller property and, by Theorem 3.2,

$$|\Gamma_t| =_{\mathcal{L}} |W_t^*(\Gamma_0)| = |\Gamma_0||W_t^*| \quad \text{a.s. for every } t \geq 0.$$

Existence of $|W_t^*|$ at fixed times follows by exchangeability and analog to Proposition 6.8. In fact, $|\mathbf{W}_\omega^*| = (|W_t|)_{t\geq 0}$ exists for all $t \geq 0$ simultaneously by analog to the above argument for existence of $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D|$, because $\mathbf{Id}_\mathbb{N}$ is an exchangeable initial state with rewiring limit $\mathbf{I}$. The Markov property of $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{D}^*}|$ follows immediately.

Let $(\mathbf{P}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the semigroup of $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{D}^*}|$, i.e., for every continuous function $g : \mathcal{D}^* \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbf{P}_t g(D) = \mathbb{E}(g(|\Gamma_t|) \mid |\Gamma_0| = D).$$

To establish the Feller property, we must show that for every continuous $g : \mathcal{D}^* \to \mathbb{R}$

(i) $D \mapsto \mathbf{P}_t g(D)$ is continuous for all $t > 0$.
(ii) $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_t g(D) = g(D)$ for all $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and

For (i), we take any continuous function $h : \mathcal{D}^* \to \mathbb{R}$. By compactness of $\mathcal{D}^*$, h is uniformly continuous and, hence, bounded. By dominated convergence and Lipschitz continuity of $|W_t| : \mathcal{D}^* \to \mathcal{D}^*$, $D \mapsto \mathbf{P}_t h(D)$ is continuous for all $t > 0$.

Part (ii) follows from exchangeability of $\mathbf{W}_\omega^*$ and the Feller property of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$. To see this explicitly, we show the equivalent condition

$$\lim_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\| |W_t^*| - \mathbf{I} \| > \varepsilon\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

For contradiction, we assume

$$\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\| |W_t^*| - \mathbf{I} \| > \varepsilon\} > 0 \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$

By definition of the metric (28) on $\mathcal{D}^*$,

$$\| |W_t^*| - \mathbf{I} \| = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} \sum_{V\in\mathcal{W}_{[n]}} | |W_t^*|(V) - \mathbf{I}(V)|,$$

and so there must be some $n \geq 2$, some $V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]} \setminus \{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}$, and $\varepsilon, \varrho > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\delta(V, W_t) > \varepsilon\} \geq \varrho. \tag{48}$$

Given such a $V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]}\setminus\{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}$, we can choose $F \in \mathcal{G}_{[n]}$ such that $V(F) \neq F$ and define

$$\psi_F(\cdot) := \mathbf{1}\{\cdot|_{[n]} \neq F\}$$

so that $\mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(G) = \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_t^{[n]} \neq F \mid \Gamma_0 = G\}$. We choose any $G = F^* \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $F^*|_{[n]} = F$. In this way,

$$\mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(F^*) = \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_t^{[n]} \neq F \mid \Gamma_0 = F^*\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{\Gamma}_{F^*}^{[n]} \text{ discontinuous on } [0,t]\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_t^{[n]} \neq F \mid \Gamma_0 = F^*\} \geq \mathbb{P}\{W_t^{*[n]} = V\} = \mathbb{E}\mathbb{P}\{W_t^{*[n]} = V \mid |W_t^*|\} = \mathbb{E}\delta(V, W_t^*).$$

Now,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{\Gamma}_{F^*}^{[n]} \text{ discontinuous on } [0,t]\} \leq 1 - \exp\{-t\omega(W : W|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]})\}$$

implies

$$\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{\Gamma}_{F^*}^{[n]} \text{ discontinuous on } [0,t]\} \leq \limsup_{t\downarrow 0} 1 - \exp\{-t\omega(W : W|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]})\} = 0,$$

because $\omega(W : W|_{[n]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[n]}) < \infty$ for all $n \geq 2$ by the right-hand side of (34). On the other hand, Markov's inequality implies

$$\mathbb{E}\delta(V, W_t^*) \geq \varepsilon\mathbb{P}\{\delta(V, W_t^*) > \varepsilon\},$$

and (48) gives

$$\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\delta(V, W_t^*) \geq \varepsilon \limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\delta(V, W_t^*) > \varepsilon\} \geq \varepsilon\varrho > 0.$$

Thus, the Feller property of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ and the hypothesis (48) lead to contradicting statements

$$\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(F^*) \geq \varepsilon\varrho > 0 \quad \text{and}$$
$$\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_t\psi_F(F^*) \leq 0.$$

We conclude that

$$\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\delta(V, W_t^*) > \varepsilon\} < \varrho$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]}\setminus\{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $\varrho, \varepsilon > 0$. There are $4^{\binom{n-1}{2}} - 1 < 4^{\binom{n}{2}}$ elements in $\mathcal{W}_{[n]}\setminus\{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}$ for each $n \geq 2$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\delta(\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}, W_t^*) < 1 - \varepsilon\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]}\setminus\{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}} \{\delta(V, W_t^*) > \varepsilon 4^{-\binom{n}{2}}\}\right\},$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\delta(\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}, W_t^*) < 1 - \varepsilon\} \leq \\ &\leq \limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \sum_{V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]}\setminus\{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}} \mathbb{P}\{\delta(V, W_t^*) > \varepsilon 4^{-\binom{n}{2}}\} \\ &\leq \sum_{V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]}\setminus\{\mathbf{Id}_{[n]}\}} \limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\delta(V, W_t^*) > \varepsilon 4^{-\binom{n}{2}}\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{|\delta(V, W_t^*) - \delta(V, \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}})| > \varepsilon\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0, \quad \text{for all } V \in \mathcal{W}_{[n]}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

Now, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the event $\{\||W_t^*| - \mathbf{I}\| > \varepsilon\}$ implies

$$\||W_t^*| - \mathbf{I}\| = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} \sum_{V\in\mathcal{W}_{[n]}} \||W_t^*|(V) - \mathbf{I}(V)\| > \varepsilon.$$

Since $\sum_{V\in\mathcal{W}_{[n]}} \||W_t^*|(V) - \mathbf{I}(V)\| \leq 2$ for every $n \geq 1$, we observe that

$$\sum_{n\geq\lceil 1-\log_2(\varepsilon)\rceil} 2^{-n} \sum_{V\in\mathcal{W}_{[n]}} \||W_t^*|(V) - \mathbf{I}(V)\| \leq 2 \sum_{n\geq\lceil 1-\log_2(\varepsilon)\rceil} 2^{-n} = 2^{\lfloor \log_2(\varepsilon)\rfloor} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Writing $m_\varepsilon = \lceil 2 - \log_2(\varepsilon)\rceil$, we must have

$$|\delta(V, W_t^*) - \delta(V, \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}})| > (\varepsilon - 2^{-m_\varepsilon+1})4^{-\binom{m_\varepsilon}{2}} > 0$$

for some $V \in \bigcup_{n<m_\varepsilon} \mathcal{W}_{[n]}$. With $\varrho := (\varepsilon - 2^{-m_\varepsilon+1})4^{-\binom{m_\varepsilon}{2}} > 0$, we now conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\||W_t^*| - \mathbf{I}\| > \varepsilon\} &\leq \limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\left\{\bigcup_{n\leq m_\varepsilon} \bigcup_{V\in\mathcal{W}_{[n]}} \{|\delta(V, W_t^*) - \delta(V, \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}})| > \varrho\}\right\} \\
&\leq \limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \sum_{n\leq m_\varepsilon} \sum_{V\in\mathcal{W}_{[n]}} \mathbb{P}\{|\delta(V, W_t^*) - \delta(V, \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}))| > \varrho\} \\
&\leq \sum_{n\leq m_\varepsilon} \sum_{V\in\mathcal{W}_{[n]}} \limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{|\delta(V, W_t^*) - \delta(V, \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{N}})| > \varrho\} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Where the interchange of sum and lim sup is permitted because the sum is finite. We conclude that $|W_t^*| \to_P \mathbf{I}$ as $t \downarrow 0$ and $|W_t^*(\Gamma_0)| = |\Gamma_0||W_t^*| \to_P |\Gamma_0|$ as $t \downarrow 0$. The Feller property follows.

□

Discontinuities. Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D = (\Gamma_t)_{t\geq 0}$ have exchangeable initial distribution γ_D. Then $(|\Gamma_t|)_{t\geq 0}$ exists almost surely and has the Feller property. By the Feller property, $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D|$ has a version with càdlàg paths. For the càdlàg version, $\lim_{s\uparrow t} |\Gamma_t|$ exists for all $t > 0$ with probability one. Although the map $|\cdot| : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{D}^*$ is not continuous, we also have $\lim_{s\uparrow t} |\Gamma_s| = |\Gamma_{t-}|$, as we now show.

Exchangeability of the initial distribution γ_D as well as the transition probability implies $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D =_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{\Gamma}_D^\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$; therefore, Γ_{t-} is exchangeable for all $t > 0$. By the Aldous–Hoover theorem (Theorem 4.2), $|\Gamma_{t-}|$ exists a.s. for all $t > 0$.

Now, suppose $t > 0$ is a discontinuity time of $\mathbf{\Gamma}_D$. If $\lim_{s\uparrow t} |\Gamma_s| \neq |\Gamma_{t-}|$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|\lim_{s\uparrow t} |\Gamma_s| - |\Gamma_{t-}|\| > \varepsilon.$$

In particular, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F \in \mathcal{G}_{[m]}$ such that

$$|\lim_{s\uparrow t} \delta(F, \Gamma_s) - \delta(F, \Gamma_{t-})| > \varepsilon.$$

By definition,

$$\delta(F,\Gamma_s) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\downarrow m}} \sum_{\phi:[m]\to[n]} \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_s^{\phi} = F\}.$$

Since the above limits exist with probability one, we can replace limits with limits inferior to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \le |\liminf_{s\uparrow t} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\downarrow m}} \sum_{\phi:[m]\to[n]} \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_s^{\phi} = F\} - \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_{t-}^{\phi} = F\}| &\le \\ \le \quad \liminf_{s\uparrow t} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\downarrow m}} \sum_{\phi:[m]\to[n]} |\mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_s^{\phi} = F\} - \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_{t-}^{\phi} = F\}| & \\ \le \quad 2\liminf_{s\uparrow t} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\downarrow m}} \sum_{\phi:[m]\to[n]} \mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{\Gamma}_D^{\phi} \text{ discontinuous on } [s,t)\}. & \end{aligned}$$

By the bounded convergence theorem, Fatou's lemma, exchangeability and consistency of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, and the construction of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ from a standard ω-process, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\liminf_{s\uparrow t} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\downarrow m}} \sum_{\phi:[m]\to[n]} \mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{\Gamma}_D^{\phi} \text{ discontinuous on } [s,t)\}\right] &\le \\ \le \quad \liminf_{s\uparrow t} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\downarrow m}} \sum_{\phi:[m]\to[n]} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{\Gamma}_D^{\phi} \text{ discontinuous on } [s,t)\}\right] & \\ \le \quad \liminf_{s\uparrow t} \liminf_{n\to\infty} 1 - \exp\{-(t-s)\omega(\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}} : W|_{[m]} \neq \mathbf{Id}_{[m]}\})\} & \\ = \quad 0. & \end{aligned}$$

By Markov's inequality, we must have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{|\lim_{s\uparrow t} \delta(F,\Gamma_s) - \delta(F,\Gamma_{t-})| > \varepsilon\right\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and all } F \in \mathcal{G}^*.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\|\lim_{s\uparrow t} |\Gamma_s| - |\Gamma_{t-}|\| > \varepsilon\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

To see that the discontinuities in $|\mathbf{\Gamma}|$ occur only at the times of Type (III) discontinuities, suppose $s > 0$ is a discontinuity time for $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D|$. The càdlàg property of $|\mathbf{\Gamma}_D|$ along with the fact that $\lim_{s\uparrow t} |\Gamma_s| = |\lim_{s\uparrow t} \Gamma_s|$ a.s. implies that $|\delta(F,\Gamma_{s-}) - \delta(F,\Gamma_s)| > \varepsilon$ for some $F \in \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_{[m]}$ and some $\varepsilon > 0$. By the strong law of large numbers,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_{s-}^{ij} \neq \Gamma_s^{ij}\} > 0,$$

because otherwise exchangeability would imply $\delta(F,\Gamma_{s-}) = \delta(F,\Gamma_s)$; therefore, there must by infinitely many edges with discontinuity at time s with probability one. Type (II) discontinuities can involve possibly infinitely many edges; however, there is a single fixed vertex i^* for which all edges not involving i^* remain constant. So, if s were the time of a Type (II) discontinuity, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_{s-}^{ij} \neq \Gamma_s^{ij}\} \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \cdot n = 0,$$

a contradiction. Likewise, Type (I) discontinuities only involve a single edge, which cannot affect the limiting density of any subgraph. The proof is complete.

□

□

7. Concluding remarks

Our main theorems characterize the behavior of exchangeable Feller processes on the space of countable undirected graphs. These processes appeal to many modern applications in network analysis. Our arguments rely mostly on the Aldous–Hoover theorem (Theorem 4.2) and its extension to conditionally exchangeable random graphs (Theorem 5.1) and, therefore, our main theorems can be stated more generally for exchangeable Feller processes on countable d-dimensional arrays taking values in any finite space. In particular, our main theorems have an immediate analog to processes in the space of directed graphs and multigraphs.

In some instances, it may be natural to consider a random process on undirected graphs as the projection of a random process on directed graphs. Any $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{N}}$ projects to an undirected graph in at least two non-trivial ways, which we denote $G_{\vee}$ and $G_{\wedge}$ and define by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\vee}^{ij} &:= G^{ij} \vee G^{ji} \quad \text{and} \\ G_{\wedge}^{ij} &:= G^{ij} \wedge G^{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is an edge between i and j in $G_{\vee}$ if there is any edge between i and j in G, while there is an edge between i and j in $G_{\wedge}$ only if there are edges i to j and j to i in G. It is reasonable to ask when an exchangeable Feller process $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ on directed graphs projects to an exchangeable Feller process on the subspace of undirected graphs. But these questions are easily answered by consultation with Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 and their generalization to directed graphs. Similar questions might arise for exchangeable processes on similarly structured, but possibly higher-dimensional, spaces. In these cases, the analogs to Theorems 3.1-3.7 can be deduced, so we omit them.

References

[1] D. Aldous. Representations for Partially Exchangeable Arrays of Random Variables. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 11:581–598, 1981.

[2] D. J. Aldous. Exchangeability and related topics. In *École d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIII—1983*, volume 1117 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–198. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[3] J. Bertoin. *Lévy Processes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[4] J. Bertoin. *Random fragmentation and coagulation processes*, volume 102 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[5] D. Hoover. Relations on Probability Spaces and Arrays of Random Variables. *Preprint, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ*, 1979.

[6] O. Kallenberg. *Random Measures*. Academic Press, New York, 1986.

[7] O. Kallenberg. *Probabilistic Symmetries and Invariance Principles*. Probability and Its Applications. Springer, 2005.

[8] L. Lovász. *Large Networks and Graph Limits*, volume 60 of *AMS Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

[9] L. Lovász and B. Szegedy. Limits of dense graph sequences. *J. Comb. Th. B*, 96:933–957, 2006.

[10] J. Pitman. *Combinatorial stochastic processes*, volume 1875 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. Lectures from the 32nd Summer School on Probability Theory held in Saint-Flour, July 7–24, 2002, With a foreword by Jean Picard.

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN ROAD, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854, HCRANE@STAT.RUTGERS.EDU.